Magnolia grandiflora L. shows better responses to drought than Magnolia × soulangeana in urban environment
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Drought tolerance is becoming an increasingly important criterion for the selection of tree species, especially in urban areas characterized by low water availability. Apart from drought tolerance, the introduction of non-native species should be considered for new planting programs under such conditions to enhance the resilience of urban forests. The present study is aimed at evaluating the in situ physiological responses of Magnolia grandiflora and Magnolia × soulangeana to severe drought that frequently occurs in urban environments in the Southeastern Europe. Transpiration rate, stomatal conductance, intercellular CO₂ concentration, water-use efficiency and intrinsic water-use efficiency showed notable differences both between species and between the measured periods (wet and dry). Among the chlorophyll a fluorescence parameters, effective photochemical quantum yield of PS II, quantum yield of light-induced non-photochemical fluorescence quenching, quantum yield of non-regulated heat dissipation, fluorescence emission and index of susceptibility of leaves to light stress revealed significant differences both between the two species and the periods of measurements. The reduction of net photosynthesis in both magnolia species occurs as the result of non-stomatal limitation obtained by the reduction of electron transport rate coupled with simultaneous increase in intercellular CO₂ concentration. Moreover, M. grandiflora was the species less vulnerable to water shortage conditions, while M. soulangeana exhibited a photosynthetic capacity sensitive to drought-induced stress. M. grandiflora can therefore be considered as a promising alternative to M. soulangeana for urban sites under the predicted climate change scenarios.
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Introduction

Urban ecosystems pose multiple challenges for trees to cope with (Sjöman et al. 2018a). Among the various environmental factors occurring in urban settlements, drought was being recognized as one of the most frequent and threatening constraints, resulting from the combination of water deficit and high temperatures (Klee rekop et al. 2012), thereby causing serious damages and a premature mortality of urban trees (Wang et al. 2019). Apart from directly influencing the tree by inhibiting its growth through reduced photosynthetic rates, drought has additional indirect impacts as well, e.g., by predisposing trees to pathogens (Dale & Frank 2017) and pollutants (Cocozza et al. 2019). The severity of the negative effects on plants is related to the intensity, duration, and course of progression of drought stress (Chaves et al. 2009). In plants subjected to mild to moderate drought, stomatal control is the major physiological mechanism preventing excessive water loss, causing an overall reduction of photosynthesis and consequently the inhibition of plant growth (Xu et al. 2010). Under more severe drought stress, metabolic and biochemical limitations may occur and reduce CO₂ assimilation rates; these processes include the inhibition of Rubisco enzyme activity, damage of chlorophyll or photosystems, and a decrease in the capacity to generate ATP (Changhui et al. 2010). Under drought conditions, PSII photochemical efficiency of plants can be affected, and parameters of chlorophyll a fluorescence were shown to be rapid, highly sensitive and non-destructive tool for detecting species, genotypes and provenances with tolerant or susceptible behavior (Cocozza et al. 2016, Vastag et al. 2020). While early phases of drought were observed to impose slight changes on PSII, more progressive drought was noted to cause a depletion of PSII core and inhibit electron transport chain from the donor side of PSII to PSI, indicating non-stomatal inhibition of photosynthesis (Giardi et al. 1996). The responses of plants in such conditions have been observed to be specific (Sjöman et al. 2018b, Vastag et al. 2019) due to differences in leaf morphology and physiology. For example, a significant decrease in photosynthetic activity in Ginkgo biloba L. was detected, which resulted in higher sensitivity to drought compared to Fraxinus chinensis Roxb. (Wang et
The Magnolia genus of the Magnoliaceae family includes 224 ornamental species (Grimshaw & Bayton 2009), and its planting in urban areas has increased significantly over the past decade. In Southeast Europe, Magnolia × soulangeana Soul.-Bod., a deciduous hybrid of Magnolia denudata Desr. and Magnolia illicifora Desr., is a very common species in urban parks, while Magnolia grandiflora L., an evergreen species native to the southern United States (Cvjetičanin et al. 2016), is encountered less often. However, as the distribution of forest tree species is generally expected to shift northwards in response to climate warming (Parmesan 2006), a similar expansion of the distribution of M. grandiflora could be expected in the future, increasing its frequency in Southeast Europe as well.

As both of these species have a high ornamental value, most literature deals mainly with their aesthetic qualities (Cvjetićanin et al. 2016). However, the selection of tree species for urban ecosystems should include other important factors, such as the microclimatic conditions of the respective site and the physiological performance of the species concerning stress factors (Vogt et al. 2017). Notably, understanding the interaction between the physiology of plants and their surrounding environment is essential for establishing better management strategies to alleviate stress conditions for the species (Sjöman et al. 2018a), especially in urban areas where these stressors are even more amplified and combined. Despite the wide range of research possibilities posed in urban environments, the physiological performance of trees, including the Magnolia genus, remains scarcely explored and understood (Wang et al. 2019). To support tree selection for urban areas in temperate climate and prevent mistakes in planning, which could result in high ecological and economic costs, the Citree database was developed (Vogt et al. 2017). However, Vogt et al. (2017) noted that additional field experiments should be included in the database, especially for aspects that have not been thoroughly investigated, such as the drought tolerance of species.

The present study was aimed to investigate the physiological response to naturally occurring severe drought of M. grandiflora and M. soulangeana planted in the urban area of Novi Sad, Serbia, South-East Europe. Tree responses were determined through accurate, reliable and non-invasive tools by estimating: (i) the photosynthetic function of plants under drought, to analyze the photosynthetic processes and detect water efficient and stress tolerant species (Wang et al. 2018); (ii) the effects of stress on photochemistry (Pšidová et al. 2018). We hypothesized that drought might (1) negatively affect leaf gas exchange and chlorophyll a fluorescence in both magnolia species, by indicating tolerant and/or vulnerable drought behavior, (2) induce the non-stomatal regulations with consequent inhibition of net photosynthesis, and (3) less affect the photosynthetic performance of a species from drier climate than of a more humid site.

Material and methods

Study area and species

The present study was conducted in the urban green space of Novi Sad, the second largest city of Serbia (45° 15’ 10” N, 19° 50’ 53” E), characterized as a pocket park (Rakshandehrou & Yusuof 2014). At the studied locality, ten adult M. grandiflora L. and M. soulangeana Soul.-Bod. trees were chosen for the evaluation of physiological responses. Tree selection was based on visual impression, namely all chosen trees were vigorous, without dying branches, insect infestation, or mechanical damage (Moser et al. 2016). M. grandiflora trees were planted in a single linear row alongside a walkway in direction of West-East with a planting space of 3 m between them. On the other hand, M. soulangeana trees were arranged in double linear rows alongside a walkway in direction of North-South and a planting space of 4 m. Both species were planted in a common green space covered with grass and in full sunlight. For assessing the in situ physiological status of the two magnolia species in urban environment, leaf gas exchange and chlorophyll a fluorescence parameters were measured in wet (18th of July 2019) and drought (31th of August 2019) periods.

Meteorological data

Monitoring of mean air temperature (°C) and daily precipitation (mm) was carried out at the nearby meteorological station Rimski Sančevi (45° 20’ N, 19° 51’ E, altitude
The wet period measurement was performed on July 18, 2019 after 10 days of SWP values below -0.4 MPa and mean air temperatures ranging between 21.2 °C and 22.2 °C, with the maximum temperature never reaching 30 °C. The drought period measurement was performed on the August 31, 2019 after six days of SWP values below -1.4 MPa and mean air temperatures between 25.8 °C and 26.9 °C, with the maximum air temperature exceeding 30 °C (characterized as severe drought period according to Liu et al. 2010 – Fig. 1).

Leaf gas exchange measurement
The measurements of net photosynthesis (A, μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), transpiration rate (E, mmol m⁻² s⁻¹), stomatal conductance (gs, mmol m⁻² s⁻¹), and intercellular CO₂ concentration (Ci, μmol mol⁻¹) were taken using a CIRAS-3® portable photosynthesis system (PP Systems, Amesbury, MA, USA). In order to minimize differences in light exposure, exposed, outward-facing leaves on exterior branches in the upper reaches of the trees were chosen. During the measurements, the photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) was set to 1000 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ while humidity, temperature, and the concentration of CO₂ depended on the ambient conditions of study site. Following leaf stabilization in the CIRAS-3 chamber, the abovementioned parameters were recorded from 9:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. Water use efficiency (WUE, μmol mmol⁻¹) was computed as the ratio of A to E (Farquhar & Sharkey 1982), and intrinsic water-use efficiency (WUEI, μmol mol⁻¹) was derived as the ratio of A to gs (Flexas et al. 2013). The leaf gas exchange parameters were determined for all ten plants of each species, with measurements taken in the order of 5 specimens of M. grandiflora followed by five specimens of M. soulangiana, etc. The same leaves were subsequently used for assessing the pulse amplitude modulated chlorophyll a fluorescence, with three replications per leaf.

Pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) fluorescence measurements
The photosynthetic activity of PSII was measured using a PAM-2500® portable chlorophyll fluorometer (Walz GmbH, Effeltrich, Germany) between 9:00 and 11:00 a.m. Before taking the measurements, the selected leaves were dark-acclimated for 15 minutes using leaf clips. The rapid light curves (RLC) were derived using nine increasing actinic illumination steps (0, 144, 274, 477, 788, 1163, 1389, 2018, 2443 μmol e m⁻² s⁻¹). The duration of each actinic illumination step was 10 s, and the steps were separated by a white saturating flash of ~3000 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ lasting 0.8 s. In addition, the studied PAM fluorescence parameters were computed (Tab. 1). Rapid light-response curves of chlorophyll a fluorescence were constructed by plotting the Fₚᵥₑ, Y(NPQ), Y(NO), (1-qP)/NPQ, and ETR versus the increasing actinic irradiance (from 1 to 2443 μmol e m⁻² s⁻¹ – Vastag et al. 2020). The RLCs were quantified as follows: (i) maximal electron transport rate ETRmax (μmol e m⁻² s⁻¹) for RCLₑₜₑₚₛ, ETRmax was calculated automatically according to internal equation (Eilers & Peeters 1988) and reflects the light-saturated capacity of the sample; (ii) the area below the curve for RLCₚₛₛₑₚₛ; (iii) the value reaches at high light intensity (2443 μmol e m⁻² s⁻¹) for RCLₑₜₑₚₛ, RCLₑₛₑₚₛ, RCLₛₑₛₑₚₛ, and RLCₑₚₛ (Pšidová et al. 2018).

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis of the leaf gas exchange and chlorophyll a fluorescence parameters was conducted using Statistica v. 13 (TIBCO Software Inc. 2017) and R v. 3.6.3 (R Core Team, Austria). The differences between the two parameters were tested using two-way ANOVA, with the period (wet or dry) and species (M. grandiflora and M. soulangiana) set as fixed factors. The following model was used (eqn. 1):

\[
y_{ijk} = P_i + S_j + P_i \times S_j + e_{ijk}
\]

where \(y_{ijk}\) represents the measured value of the phenotype, \(P_i\) is the effect of the \(i\)th period, \(S_j\) is the effect of the \(j\)th species, \(P_i \times S_j\) is the interaction between the period and the species, and \(e_{ijk}\) is the experimental error. Significant differences between the means were evaluated using Tukey’s honestly significant difference (HSD) test with \(P \leq 0.05\). Prior to the statistical analysis, the normality of data distribution was tested, with the transformation considered necessary for several parameters. All parameters were shown in the form of a bar diagram with means ± standard errors drawn by Statistica v. 13 (TIBCO Software Inc. 2017).

Results
Leaf gas exchange
Leaf gas exchange parameters were significantly affected by environmental conditions in the considered period and site (Tab. 2). A, Ci, WUE, and WUEI significantly changed between species. Furthermore, the interaction of species × period exhibited a significant effect on all observed leaf parameters.

Tab. 1 - The studied computed pulse amplitude modulated fluorescence parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbrev.</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Definition of the derived parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Φₚᵥₑ</td>
<td>Fm'Ft/Fm'</td>
<td>Effective photochemical quantum yield of PS II (Genty et al. 1989)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y(NPQ)</td>
<td>Ft/Fm' - Ft/Fm</td>
<td>Quantum yield of light-induced non-photochemical fluorescence quenching (Genty et al. 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y(NO)</td>
<td>Ft/Fm</td>
<td>Quantum yield of non-regulated heat dissipation and fluorescence emission (Genty et al. 1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1-qP)/NPQ</td>
<td>(1-qP)/NPQ</td>
<td>Index of susceptibility of leaves to light stress (Osmond 1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qP</td>
<td>(Fm'-Ft)/(Fm'-Fo)</td>
<td>Coefficient of photochemical quenching (Schreiber et al. 1986 as formulated by Van Kooten &amp; Snel 1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETR</td>
<td>PAR · 0.84 · 0.5</td>
<td>Rate of electron transport (Genty et al. 1989)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 2 - Results of two-way ANOVA showing the effects of species (S), period (P), and their interaction (S × P) on the observed leaf gas exchange parameters. (A): net photosynthetic rate (μmol m⁻² s⁻¹); (E): rate of transpiration (mmol m⁻² s⁻¹); (gs): stomatal conductance (mmol m⁻² s⁻¹); (Ci): intercellular CO₂ concentration (μmol mol⁻¹); (WUE): water use efficiency (μmol mmol⁻¹); (WUEI): intrinsic water use efficiency (μmol mol⁻¹); (df): degrees of freedom.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Stat</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>gs</th>
<th>Ci</th>
<th>WUE</th>
<th>WUEI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species (S)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>101.9</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period (P)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>140.4</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>70.5</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Error               | 36 | -    | -    | -   | -   | -   | -   | -    |
| Total               | 39 | -    | -    | -   | -   | -   | -   | -     |
These results point to a different drought response of the examined species. Drought significantly reduced $A$ and WUE, and increased $Ci$ in both species (Fig. 2a, Fig. 2e, Fig. 2d). In detail, *M. grandiflora* increased $E$ and $gs$ (reduction of 38.2% and 47.0%, respectively), whereas *M. soulangeana* maintained stable but overall low $E$ and $gs$ values (reduction of only 6.1% and 7.6%, respectively) during the drought period (Fig. 2b, Fig. 2c). *M. grandiflora* exhibited a higher decrease in $E$ and $gs$, while *M. soulangeana* showed generally weak performance under both measured periods. Furthermore, *M. soulangeana* exhibited significantly lower values of WUEi (reduction of 67.65%) during drought in comparison to the wet period, while WUEi values in *M. grandiflora* were not significantly changed by severe drought (reduction of only 7.47% – Fig. 2f).

Tab. 3 - Results of two-way ANOVA showing the effect of species, period, and their interaction (species × period) on the observed parameters of chlorophyll a fluorescence at high light intensity (2443 μmol e m⁻² s⁻¹). ($\Phi_{PSII}$): effective photochemical quantum yield of PS II (relative units); (Y(NPQ)): quantum yield of light-induced non-photochemical fluorescence quenching (relative units); (Y(NO)): quantum yield of non-regulated heat dissipation and fluorescence emission (relative units); ((1-qP)/NPQ): index of susceptibility of leaves to light stress (relative units); (qP): coefficient of photochemical quenching (relative units); (ETRmax): maximum electron transport rate (μmol e m⁻² s⁻¹); (df): degrees of freedom.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Stat</th>
<th>$\Phi_{PSII}$</th>
<th>Y(NPQ)</th>
<th>Y(NO)</th>
<th>(1-qP)/NPQ</th>
<th>qP</th>
<th>ETRmax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species (S)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period (P)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6.83</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S × P</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pulse amplitude modulated (PAM) fluorescence

The result of two-way ANOVA showed significant differences in $\Phi_{psii}$, (1-qP)/NPQ, qP and ETRmax among the two magnolia species, while no significant changes were detected for Y(NPQ) and Y(NO) (Tab. 3). The analysis of the period (wet and dry) exhibited significant effect on all observed chlorophyll a fluorescence parameters, except for (1-qP)/NPQ. Furthermore, the interaction of the species and period significantly influenced $\Phi_{psii}$, Y(NPQ), Y(NO) and (1-qP)/NPQ parameters, while no significant effect was observed on qP and ETRmax.

Observing the RLC of $\Phi_{psii}$, M. grandiflora showed higher values (until 477 μmol e m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) during drought compared to wet period, after which it has declined, while M. soulangeana exhibited lower values in drought, throughout all observed light intensities (Fig. 3a). Drought induced reduction of $\Phi_{psii}$ was observed in M. soulangeana, while no differences were found in M. grandiflora (Fig. 4a). In case of Y(NPQ), M. grandiflora showed higher values, while M. soulangeana lower values during drought (Fig. 3b). The values at high light intensity of RLC showed proportionally greater increase of Y(NPQ) during drought period in M. grandiflora, compared to the decrease for M. soulangeana.

Furthermore, Y(NO) values of M. grandiflora were lower than in M. soulangeana during drought (Fig. 3c). According to the highest light intensities assessed, the reduction in Y(NO) in M. grandiflora was statistically significant, while the increase in M. soulangeana was shown to be less significant (Fig. 4c). Considering (1-qP)/NPQ, M. grandiflora exhibited higher values (until 477 μmol e m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) during drought period compared to wet period, after which it has declined, while M. soulangeana showed increased values in drought over the irradiance range (Fig. 3d, Fig. 4d). A reduction of qP was observed in both magnolia species under severe drought as compared to the wet period (Fig. 3e, Fig. 4e). However, the reduction in values of the parameter qP in M. grandiflora during drought were similar to the values of M. soulangeana during wet period. Furthermore, drought reduced ETRmax in M. soulangeana, while in M. grandiflora higher values were found until light intensity of 788 μmol e m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ compared to wet period, after which it showed the opposite ranking (Fig. 3f). At high intensities, the reduction of ETRmax was found in both magnolia species, where M. grandiflora during drought and M. soulangeana during wet period showed the same range of values (Fig. 4f).

Discussion

The present study showed the adversely effects of the naturally occurring severe drought period on net photosynthetic rate (A) in both magnolia species grown in urban environment. Even though A was significantly reduced in both species, M. grandiflora managed to maintain higher rates. This is advantageous on multiple counts, allowing an increased metabolic activity like the synthesis of sugars, amino acids, proteins, nucleic acids and lipids, all of them being essential for the growth and repair
of damaged tissues (Percival et al. 2006). Similarly to our findings, many studies observed significantly reduced A rates in urban areas, which are characterized by limited water availability (Percival et al. 2006, Osone et al. 2014) and sealed surfaces (Wang et al. 2019). The reduction of A under drought has been addressed to the protective mechanism of plants to avoid damage due to dehydration and hydraulic failure (Osone et al. 2014). During water shortage the roots are unable to penetrate in the deeper layers to find water resources and are left with low water supply, especially in urban areas where the soil is more compacted than in natural sites (Malmivaara-Lämsä & Fritze 2003). The prompt response of stomata to environment constraints through stomatal regulation, the disruption in the CO₂ supply caused by stomatal closure, is being addressed as the main cause of decreased photosynthesis under mild to moderate drought (Xu et al. 2010).

A reduction of gs and increase of Ci were observed during severe drought conditions for both magnolia species examined in the present study. As drought becomes more severe and long in time an increase in Ci values was also reported, evidencing the predominance of non-stomatal limitation to A (Briggs et al. 1986, Epron & Dreyer 1990, Changhai et al. 2010). The reduction of A under prolonged water shortage was related to diffusive (reduced mesophyll conductance) and metabolic (photochemical and enzymatic limitations) processes (Galmés et al. 2007). Metabolic limitations to A were most frequently associated with the reduction of Rubisco activity and regeneration, resulting from reduced ATP or NADPH supply (regarded as photochemical limitation), or as the consequence of low enzymatic activity of the Polymerase Chain Reaction cycle (Lawlor 2002). Prolonged periods of water deficit can also increase the oxygenase activity of Rubisco, reducing consequently the carboxylation efficiency, causing in such a way another commonly encountered non-stomatal limitation to A (Medrano et al. 1997). In addition, the inhibition of A might be attributed to the reduction of chlorophyll content, as a common symptom of oxidative stress under severe drought condition, resulting from pigment photooxidation and photosynthetic pigment degradation (Iturbe-Ormaetxe et al. 1998). A marked increase in Ci under more progressed drought period and the proportional decrease in A rate was found to be less significant in comparison to the decline of gs in M. grandiflora, resulting in high WUE values (according to Pita et al. 2005). On the other hand, the net photosynthetic rate was more sensitive to soil water shortage than gs in M. soulangeana.
Under the studied environmental constrain the significant decrease of WUEi in M. soulangeana was driven by a pronounced decline in A, while M. grandiflora maintained high WUEi values. Species characterized with higher WUEi values have specific water-saving strategy to avoid greater water losses and maintain higher assimilation rates, particularly important under the conditions of predicted climate changes (Brendel et al. 2008). Taking into account the effectiveness of WUEi as an indicator of drought sensitivity, the importance of identifying species that could be used to improve WUEi and hence enhance drought adaptation in breeding programmes was noted (Stojnic et al. 2019).

M. grandiflora exhibited a higher ability to tolerate the prolonged period of drought compared to M. soulangeana, by maintaining greater values of A and WUEi. This assumption is further supported by the slightly low rate of transpiration (E) in M. grandiflora, as noted for drought tolerant genotypes (Riaz et al. 2013). For instance, isohydric species show a lower water transpiration by retaining a greater amount of water, and then they are believed to be less vulnerable to drought (Basu et al. 2016). As the process of E is facilitated through the stomata, stomatal control under drought condition is of key importance for a successful adaptation to environmental changes (Xu et al. 2010).

By maintaining higher ΦPSII and ETRmax values during water shortage, M. grandiflora exhibited a higher efficiency in transporting electrons and potentially could fix more CO2 in comparison to M. soulangeana, as reported by Estrada et al. (2015) in drought-tolerant blueberry genotypes. In addition, high values of ETR parameter give a great advantage in the reduction of ROS in photosynthesis and in maintaining normal physiological functions (Liu et al. 2019). On the other hand, the decrease of ΦPSII in M. soulangeana during drought period indicated the physiological regulation of electron transport by increasing excitation energy quenching process in the PSII antennae, according to Ashraf & Harris (2013). Furthermore, the decrease of YII points to the decrease in the carboxylation efficiency, which affects the rate of consumption of ATP and NADPH (Queiroz-Alves et al. 2019).

Environmental stresses, such as water shortage and high light intensities, cause the saturation of electron transfer chain and the increase of the accumulation of proton, resulting in increase of Y(NPQ) parameter (Wang et al. 2018). High values of Y(NPQ) under drought found in M. grandiflora could be addressed to the good ability of plants to mitigate the negative effects of the severe drought at the chloroplast level (Gonçalves et al. 2019). Consequently, the decline of Y(NPQ) in M. soulangeana indicated a loss of capacity to generate the trans-thylakoid proton gradient in chloroplast. A possible explanation for this phenomenon could be associated with the damage/decrease of PSI activity (Brestic et al. 2016). The simultaneous reduction of Y(NPQ) and increase of Y(NO) under drought in M. soulangeana further suggested the damage of PSI instead of the protective mechanism under the observed abiotic stress (Riva-Roveda et al. 2016). In accordance with these results, the increase of water deficit leads to damaging of the oxygen-evolving complex of PSII (Riva-Roveda et al. 2016). The inhibited synthesis and accelerated degradation of D1 proteins (or PSII reaction centers; QA, QB, D1, D2, and cytochrome b6f complex) can result in the inability to accept electrons from the chloroplasts and results in the inability to accept electrons (Liu et al. 2019).

A pronounced decrease of ΦPSII and qP in M. soulangeana suggested that the capability of photochemical conversion and the linear electron flux were both sensitive to the severity and duration of drought (Wang et al. 2018). Beside a pronounced reduction of Y(NPQ) and qP, a sharper decline of ETR is a commonly observed characteristic of drought-susceptible genotypes (Sánchez-Reinoso et al. 2019), and reflects a reduced capability of the transport of electrons to fix CO2. The decrease of photosynthetic electron-transport rates along with lower trans-thylakoid pH gradient resulted in the accumulation of “excess photons” (1-qP)/NPQ in M. soulangeana, suggesting that this species is more sensitive to light stress than M. grandiflora (Park et al. 1995). The observed reduction of qP coupled with the proportional increase Y(NPQ), showed that M. grandiflora was able to maintain the capacity to regulate dissipation of light energy under the observed drought. Moreover, it might be assumed that the xanthophyll’s cycle of the examined species was efficient in providing a vent to the excess electrons flow generated for the increase in light intensity in the chloroplasts (De Sousa et al. 2017).

Our results highlight the overall higher drought-resistance of M. grandiflora compared to M. soulangeana. The sensitivity of M. soulangeana to drought is in line with the description provided for species selection in Citree, the urban tree database (https://citree.de/db-names.php?language=en). On the other hand, our findings revealed a drought-tolerant behavior in M. grandiflora that is contradictory to the information in the Citree database. In addition, our findings correspond with the studies reporting high drought tolerance of species and provenances from dry climates under water shortage conditions (Plíšková et al. 2015, Wang et al. 2017). The good adaptation potential of M. grandiflora to severe water deficits was assumed by Šojman et al. (2018b) for urban street sites in North America and Europe.

**Conclusion**

This case study supports decision-making processes for the selection of trees in drought-affected urban areas by comparing two common ornamental tree species with similar aesthetic value. Measurements of leaf gas exchange parameters along with chlorophyll a fluorescence showed M. grandiflora as a drought-tolerant species, while M. soulangeana exhibited susceptibility to drought, with a photosynthetic capacity sensitive to drought-induced stress. The decrease of A in both magnolia species were related to non-stomatal factors, as evidenced by the decrease of ETRmax and a simultaneous increase of C. Most of the observed parameters of leaf gas exchange, namely E, gs, Ci, Cj, WUE, and WUEi were proven to be highly sensitive to drought. In regard to chlorophyll a fluorescence, parameters related to the photochemical dissipation of light energy (ΦPSII) as well as associated with the heat dissipation of light energy (Y(NPQ), Y(NO)) appear to be useful indicators for the selection of drought-tolerant species, and are suggested for application in the assessment of plant responses in urban environments. Considering the predicted future climate change scenarios, M. grandiflora could be a good alternative to M. soulangeana for urban sites. The significance of the present study lies in the evaluation of the in situ physiological responses and selection of appropriate ornamental species for drought-prone urban environments.

**List of abbreviations**

A: net photosynthetic rate; Ci: intercellular CO2 concentration; E: rate of transpiration; ETR: electron transport rate; gs: stomatal conductance; ΦPSII: effective photochemical quantum yield of PS II; qP: coefficient of photochemical quenching; WUE: water use efficiency; WUEi: intrinsic water use efficiency; Y(NPQ): quantum yield of light-induced non-photochemical fluorescence quenching; Y(NO): quantum yield of non-regulated heat dissipation and fluorescence emission; (1-qP)/NPQ: index of susceptibility of leaves to light stress.
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