Preliminary indications for diverging heat and drought sensitivities in Norway spruce and Scots pine in Central Europe

Norbert Kunert (1,2)

Massive and increasing tree mortality is currently observed in the two conifer species Norway spruce and Scots pine in Central Europe. Consecutive dry years are made responsible for this phenomenon. Leaf trait measurements, in specific leaf osmotic potential (π_{osm}) and leaf water potential at turgor loss (π_{tlp}), indicate that the underlying mechanisms for tree mortality are most likely different between the two species. π_{tlp} of spruce was highly negative, revealing a potentially high drought tolerance of the species. π_{tlp} of Scots pine was less negative, suggesting a higher susceptibility to drought stress. I conclude that the mortality of Norway spruce might be caused by rising temperatures and that the summer temperatures in the past years were beyond the species thermal tolerance threshold. Overall, I want to highlight and enhance the discussion that the search for suitable species for a climate change adapted forest should go in both directions, i.e., species should be chosen to make the forest fit for both increasing drought and heat stress.
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Introduction

Climate-change induced forest mortality is currently a rapidly emerging trend (Allen et al. 2010). This means that many forests have lost their ability to sustain the global biogeochemical cycle or their function in providing vital habitat, and valuable ecosystem services for human communities (Cobb et al. 2017). A good understanding of the drivers, general patterns, and severity of changes can help to apply mitigation strategies to reduce economic and cultural consequence (Allen et al. 2010). In Europe, Norway spruce and Scots pine have been the two most important timber species for the forestry sector over centuries (for historic background see Hartig 1791, Stromer Von Reichenbach 1968). Especially, those two economically very important conifer species have been massively affected by accelerated tree mortality in recent years across Europe (Allen et al. 2010). In the past, the main cause of mortality in Norway spruce was wind disturbance with consecutive disastrous bark beetle outbreaks (Eriksson et al. 2007). The increasing frequency of summer drought and heatwaves has replaced wind disturbance as the main cause of Norway spruce mortality (Hentschel et al. 2014). In Central Europe, the massive mortality of Scots pine is a relatively new phenomenon in many areas and came for many forest managers and decision-makers almost unexpected (Kunert 2019), especially as Scots pine has been thought to be relatively drought tolerant. Hence, drivers and mechanisms causing tree mortality are not always clear.

This study presents the leaf osmotic potential (π_{osm}) as a tree physiological measure that is directly related to a species drought tolerance. π_{osm} can be translated into the leaf water potential at turgor loss (turgor loss point, π_{tlp}) and represents the permanent wilting point (Bartlett et al. 2012). The aim of this study was to verify if water limitation explains the accelerated mortality in Norway spruce and Scots pine from a leaf hydraulic perspective and to present a comparison to the regional species pool.

Methods

Study area

The collection of the plant material took place in the surroundings of Ammerdorff in the rural district of Fürth in Middle Frankonia, Germany (49° 24′ 36.0” N, 10° 49′ 39.3” E). The forest management history of the district is influenced strongly by Peter Stromer, who initiated a reforestation approach in the year 1968, turning the formerly depleted mixed-species forests (probably Scots pine, birch, and oak) into pure stands of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L. – Stromer Von Reichenbach 1968). The area is characterized by a very patchy forest distribution. Approximately 30% of the area is currently stocked with forest and the rest is used for agriculture. The main tree species cultivated in the area are Scots pine, followed by Norway spruce (Picea abies Karst.). The two conifer species make up 80% of the forest area and the other 20% by broadleaved species, with European oak (Quercus robur L.) being the most important species and the invasive black cherry (Prunus serotina Ehrh.) rapidly taking over the understory. The area has experienced various dramatic and cascading die-off events in the last two years following three very dry summers and heatwaves in 2015, 2016 and 2019 (Klemmt et al. 2018). Bark beetle outbreaks have killed about 30% of the spruce trees and a complex combination of fungi are accelerating the mortality of pine (Kunert 2019). In some stands, all
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pine trees have died, which means that only 20% of the stand is stocked with mainly oaks. To compare the potential drought tolerance of spruce and pine with the local tree community, botanical samples were collected for 32 woody species native to the area (Tab. 1). I assume that this species pool (25th to 75th percentile, see box plots in the figure) would reflect well the historic drought adaptation of the local woody vegetation.

Determination of the turgor loss point via osmometry

The water potential at turgor loss point ($\pi_{\text{tlp}}$) was estimated by measuring the leaf osmotic potential at full hydration ($\pi_{\text{osm}}$) with a vapor pressure osmometer (VAPRO 5520°, Wescor, Logan, UT, USA – Bartlett et al. 2012). Three tree individuals per species were sampled. One sun-exposed branch from each individual was collected during the growing season between the 7th and the 12th of July 2019. After cutting the branches from the trees, they were placed in humid and opaque plastic bags and brought to the laboratory as fast as possible. In the laboratory, the branches were cut again underwater at least two nodes distal to the original cut and placed in buckets with water, covered with plastic bags to let rehydrate overnight. The next day, two leaf samples were taken per individual. All collected samples were processed within 24 h after collection. For broadleaved species, fully expanded leaves per branch of broad were collected and a disc was cut out per sample with a 4-mm-diameter cork borer. From the rehydrated branch of the conifers, a couple of needles were used and aligned next to each other to form a larger area, so that a disc could be cut out with similar size to the broad-leaved species. The discs were wrapped in aluminum foil and submerged in liquid nitrogen (LN2) for at least 2 minutes (Bartlett et al. 2012). The standard 10 μL chamber well of the osmometer was used for the measurements. Before putting the discs into the chamber of the osmometer, the discs were punctured with a dissection needle for about 10 to 15 times to improve evaporation through the cuticle and to reduce equilibration time (Kikut & Richter 1992). The osmometer was running in the auto-repeat mode and all measurements were recorded until the equilibrium was indicated by an increase between measurements of less than 0.01 MPa (approximately 5 osmometer readings). The osmotic potential at full hydration ($\pi_{\text{osm}}$) was calculated from the solute concentration value $c_s$ (in mmol kg$^{-1}$) given by the osmometer, using the following equation (eqn. 1):

$$\pi_{\text{osm}} = \frac{RT}{V} c_s$$

(1)

where $R$ is the ideal gas constant, and $T$, the temperature in degrees Kelvin (here 25 °C). Leaf water potential at turgor loss ($\pi_{\text{tlp}}$) was calculated using the calibration equation established by Bartlett et al. 2012 – eqn. 2:

$$\pi_{\text{tlp}} = 0.832 \pi_{\text{osm}} - 0.631$$

(2)

Results

The main results of this study are summarized in Fig. 1. The 75th and 25th percentile of the species pool were -2.01 and -2.60 MPa for $\pi_{\text{osm}}$ and -1.66 and -2.37 MPa for $\pi_{\text{tlp}}$. Mean $\pi_{\text{tlp}}$ lies at -2.33 ± 0.33 MPa and mean $\pi_{\text{osm}}$ at -2.04 ± 0.40 MPa. The two target species had a $\pi_{\text{tlp}}$ of -2.83 ± 0.085 MPa and -2.24 ± 0.054 MPa (Picea abies and Pinus sylvestris, respectively). Their $\pi_{\text{osm}}$ was at -2.64 ± 0.10 MPa and -1.93 ± 0.065 MPa. Common broad-leaved species like Quercus robur and Fagus sylvatica had a $\pi_{\text{osm}}$ of -2.68 ± 0.17 MPa and -2.62 ± 0.02 MPa, respectively. $\pi_{\text{osm}}$ was at -2.46 ± 0.20 MPa and -2.39 ± 0.02 MPa.

Discussion

$\pi_{\text{tlp}}$ measurements revealed that Norway spruce loses its turgor at a more negative leaf water potential. In contrary, Scots pine loses its turgor earlier with less negative leaf water potential. This means that Scots pine reaches its permanent wilting point earlier under water limitation than Norway spruce (Bartlett et al. 2012). This approach disregards possible differences in rooting depth of the two species and water accessibility during drought; however, various studies have shown that both species have
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most of their fine roots in the same soil layer and do not access layers deeper than 50 cm with a significantly different amount of fine roots [Jackson et al. 1996, Finer et al. 2007, Helmisari et al. 2007]. Hence, it can be assumed that both species are accessing water resources at the same soil layer and that from leaf hydraulic point of view Norway spruce is much more drought tolerant than Scots pine. Despite possible differences in rooting and soil water uptake pattern, Norway spruce has even lower \( \pi_{50} \) and \( \pi_{30} \) than the 25\textsuperscript{th} percentile of the species in the area. This means that Norway spruce might be potentially more drought intolerant than most other species, even more, tolerant to drought than oak and bee trees (Fig. 1). I conclude that the main underlying mechanism of accelerat- ing Norway spruce mortality due to heat stress caused by heatwaves. In the last years a significantly increasing number of heatwaves, defined as at least three consecu- tive days with a maximum daily air temper- ature of >30°C, has been observed in Cen- tral Europe (Tomczyk & Bednorz 2019). Norway spruce has been planted in many lowland areas near or at the edge of its thermal tolerance regimes during the heat waves are most likely reaching the thermal tolerance threshold (Curts et al. 2014, Cochard 2019) of the species leading to lethal heating of leaves (O’Sullivan et al. 2017). As higher altitudes are affected by heatwaves too, the thermal tolerance threshold could explain mortality in higher and cooler environments. To my knowledge there is no study on the thermal tolerance threshold of Nor- way spruce to support my speculation; most studies focus rather on the freezing tolerance of these species (e.g., combination of freezing and drought tolerance – Blödner et al. 2005). Similar mechanisms of tree mortality concerning heat might apply to the currently observed willing of beech trees all over central Europe. From the leaf hydraulic perspective, European beech and oak have similar \( \pi_{50} \), however, species dis- tributional range of European oak reaches much further south with historically higher maximum temperatures (Southern Turkey and Northern Iraq, an area with scorching high temperatures to quite high altitudes). The principle mechanism of mortality in Scots pine might be defined by drought stress followed by pathogen outbreaks such as the Diplodia tip blight (Klemmt et al. 2018), often combined with the cauliflower fungus (Sporassia crispa), a cellulose digesting species significantly reducing wa- ter uptake in the trunk (Kunert 2019).

**Conclusion**

Mortality in the two most economically important conifer species in Central Europe might be explained by two different mech- anisms. Norway spruce might be more af- fected by being pushed to its thermal toler- ance threshold, whereas Scots pine suffers from warmer limitation. However, both drought and heat are threatening forest ecosystems with progressing climate change and will be the most pressing task to be tackled by forest managers in the coming years. Therefore, the search for suitable species must account for the thermal tolerance threshold and a species’ drought tolerance.
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