## **Supplementary Material**

**Tab. S1** - The seventeen environmental variables used in the species distribution modelling in the present study. DEM: digital elevation model.

Group	Code	Variables	Range and unit	Data resolution	<b>References</b> / creation
	Pmoy	Mean annual precipitation	272 - 1852 mm	50 m	Ghallab & Taiqui (2015)
	Tmoy	Mean annual temperature	12.72 - 19.28 °C	50 m	Ghallab & Taiqui (2015)
	Tmin	Mean minimum temperature of the coldest month	(-1.84) - 10.32 °C	50 m	Ghallab & Taiqui 2015)
riables	Tmax	Mean maximum temperature of the hottest month	28.43 - 37.59 °C	50 m	Ghallab & Taiqui (2015)
atic va	Q2	Emberger's pluviothermic quotient	66 - 189	50 m	Derived using the formula: 2000·Pmoy/(Tmax <sup>2</sup> -Tmin <sup>2</sup> )
clim		Soil variables			
Bio	Litho	Lithology	Siliceous, argillaceous carbonates, and basic	50 m	Derived from geological maps
	TVDI	Temperature Vegetation Dryness Index	0 - 1	50 m	Derived using Sandholt et al. (2002) empirical parameterization (Landsat 8 image)
iables	Alti	Altitude	0 - 2140 m	50 m	Derived from DEM raster
hic var	pente	Slope	0 - 82 %	50 m	Created from DEM (ArcGIS- spatial analyst tools)
pograp	expos	Aspect	0° - 360 °	50 m	Created from DEM (ArcGIS- spatial analyst tools)
Toj	Courb	Curvature	(-2) - 2	50 m	Created from DEM (ArcGIS- spatial analyst tools)
uinosity NDVI	RadSola	Solar radiation	1160 to 2140 kWH m <sup>-2</sup> y <sup>-1</sup>	50 m	Created from DEM (ArcGIS- spatial analyst tools) McCune & Keon (2002)
Lum and	NDVI	Normalized Difference Vegetation Index	(-0.6) - 0.7	50 m	Derived from Landsat 8 image
bles	coordX	Longitude	422931 - 585878 m	50 m	Generated using ArcGIS Add Data from the XY Coordinates tool
hic varia	coordY	Latitude	434431 - 591183 m	50 m	Generated using ArcGIS Add Data from the XY Coordinates tool
eograp	DistMed	Distance from the Mediterranean Sea	0 - 99424 m	50 m	Generated using ArcGIS Spatial Analyst tool
9	DistOce	Distance from the Atlantic Ocean	0 - 150090 m	50 m	Generated using ArcGIS Spatial Analyst tool

**Tab. S2** - Pearson correlation matrix for the ten variables selected in the modeling process. Strong correlations (> 0.70) are shown in bold. See Tab. 2 for abbreviations.

	Alti	coordx	coordy	Courb	DistMed	DistOce	Expos	Litho	IVUN	Pente	Pmoy	Q2	RadSola	Tmin	Tmoy	IUDI	Tmax
Alti	1.00	0.62	-0.23	0.06	-0.23	0.61	0.13	0.05	0.34	0.54	0.80	0.75	0.39	-0.75	-0.82	-0.26	-0.22
coordx		1.00	-0.27	0.00	-0.44	0.96	0.12	0.03	0.00	0.55	0.33	0.44	0.07	-0.28	-0.70	0.10	-0.46
coordy			1.00	0.00	-0.73	-0.53	-0.03	-0.23	0.09	-0.07	-0.25	0.05	-0.15	0.45	0.07	0.09	-0.65
Courb				1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.02	0.03	0.04	-0.06	0.10	-0.37	-0.01	0.06	0.00
DistMed					1.00	-0.17	-0.05	0.19	-0.06	-0.32	0.02	-0.35	0.08	-0.23	0.49	-0.15	0.95
DistOce						1.00	0.12	0.10	-0.03	0.50	0.36	0.37	0.11	-0.37	-0.63	0.06	-0.21
Expos							1.00	0.038	0.05	0.20	0.12	0.11	0.03	-0.10	-0.07	-0.22	-0.04
Litho								1.00	-0.08	0.01	0.17	0.08	0.04	-0.11	0.05	-0.01	0.20
NDVI									1.00	0.26	0.42	0.37	0.04	-0.28	-0.18	-0.43	0.03
Pente										1.00	0.37	0.41	-0.17	-0.30	-0.53	-0.10	-0.28
Pmoy											1.00	0.89	0.45	-0.69	-0.43	-0.32	0.05
Q2												1.00	0.38	-0.43	-0.53	-0.21	-0.35
RadSola													1.00	-0.38	-0.15	-0.04	0.06
Tmin														1.00	0.45	0.28	-0.22
Tmoy															1.00	0.10	0.50
TVDI																1.00	-0.17
Tmax																	1.00

**Tab. S3** - Relative contributions (%) of environmental variables in the construction of species distribution models. Note that the values of the most important variables are shown in bold, i.e., those which made the greatest contribution to the model. Am: *A. marocana*, Ca: *C. atlantica*, Oe: *O. sylvestris*, Ph: *P. halepensis*, Pib: *P. iberica*, Pmag: *P. maghrebiana*, Pn: *P. mauretanica*, Qc: *Q. faginea*, Qcc: *Q. coccifera*, Ql: *Q. lusitanica*, Qp: *Q. pyrenaica*, Qr: *Q. rotundifolia*, Qs: *Q. suber*, Ta: *T. Articulata*.

Contrib.	Variable	Am	Ca	Oe	Ph	Pib	Pmag	Pn	Qc	Qcc	QI	Qp	Qr	Qs	Та
	Tmoy	65.5	62.5	37	41.5	8.1	62.6	75.6	4.9	23.8	20.3	16.5	81.3	0.8	36.5
oution	Tmax	9	2.7	11.4	16.5	32.4	9.6	1.4	9.7	39.6	32	6.1	5.2	9.5	32.4
	Pmoy	13.6	23.9	28.2	1.2	2	2.5	0.3	32.3	11.7	10.2	25.7	2.2	21.7	17.4
	Litholo	6.4	0.9	7.5	10.9	14.7	16.6	12.3	1.3	3.7	21.8	2.7	2.5	60.3	2.6
ntril	TVDI	5.3	5.7	2.3	6	12.6	7	5.6	51.1	3.6	10.5	17.2	0.6	7.3	0.3
Percent co	Pente	0	0.3	2.5	20.9	19.7	0.6	1.1	0.1	8.4	2	2.4	4.4	0.1	9.8
	Tmin	0.1	3.2	3.9	0.1	6.9	0	2.7	0.1	1.8	2.1	28.5	2.5	0.2	0.2
	Expos	0.1	0.1	3.6	2.2	2	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.7	0.1	0.3
	RadSola	0	0.6	1.2	0.4	0.1	0.7	0.2	0.1	6.2	0.2	0.8	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Courb	0	0.1	2.4	0.1	1.5	0.2	0.7	0	1	0.6	0	0.4	0	0.4
	Tmoy	60.2	67.7	28.4	49.7	6.4	81.1	97.7	12.6	26.8	18.9	38.2	71.6	1.5	27
	Tmax	18.9	6.5	17.1	21.5	63.6	7.6	1.2	23.4	28.2	49.4	12.8	14.8	12.5	37.2
ance	TVDI	6.6	4.2	4.9	9.4	8.2	1.3	0.4	52.7	4.5	3.4	18.2	0.8	6.3	0.5
ports	Pmoy	9.4	14.5	27.9	2.5	1.5	1.1	0.1	9.4	12.4	7.6	4.7	0.3	14.4	21.5
imi	Litho	2.4	4.5	5.5	4	2.5	7.1	0.4	0.5	2.7	16.9	1.3	2.4	63.5	1
nutation	Pente	1.6	0.8	1.8	6.6	6.9	1.1	0	0.4	8.4	0.4	4	7.9	0.4	10.4
	Tmin	0.5	0.6	5.3	0.3	9	0.1	0	0.3	6.6	3.1	20.3	1	0.5	0.8
Perr	Expos	0.3	0.3	5.7	5.7	0.3	0.2	0	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.4	1.1
	RadSola	0	0.5	1.8	0.3	0	0.2	0	0	7.1	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.2
	Courb	0.1	0.4	1.5	0	1.5	0.1	0.1	0	2.9	0	0	0.6	0	0.4

**Tab. S4** - Summary of the predicted potential and current areas of the fourteen taxa studied. The current area is based on the National Forest Inventory (NFI).

	I	Potential area (ha)		Current area (NFI)				
Species	Including unforested lands (1)	Excluding unforested lands (2)	Unforested land (%) ((2)-(1))/(2)	(ha)	%/(1)	<b>%/(</b> 2)		
A. marocana	22429	18920	16	3000	13	16		
C. atlantica	36198	29736	18	4192	12	14		
P. maghrebiana	60727	47883	21	9597	16	20		
O. sylvestris	326562	57876	82	-				
P. halepensis	65834	47902	27	1382	2	3		
P. iberica	66285	42736	36	1229	2	3		
P. mauretanica	10658	9623	10	501	5	5		
Q. faginea	54279	48298	11	10790	20	22		
Q. coccifera	351736	127020	64	17722	5	14		
Q. lusitanica	65254	35847	45	-				
Q. pyrenaica	45744	39164	14	2087	5	5		
Q. rotundifolia	238457	124481	48	42336	18	34		
Q. suber	316874	173641	45	113330	36	65		
T. articulata	188800	76071	60	21205	11	28		

**Tab. S5** - Results of the binomial test and the Kappa statistical index obtained after comparison of the developed PNV model with the real distribution of the studied species.

	<b>Binomial Test</b>		Agreement	Agreement relevance				
Category	Observed Proportion	Test proportion	Signification exact (unil.)	Kappa measure	Approximate Significance			
1 (concordance) 0 (no concordance)	0.76 0.24	0.76	0.249	0.670	0.000			
Total	1.00							





- Fraction of background predicted
  - Omission on training samples Omission on test samples
    - Predicted omission





Test data Random Prediction

**Fig. S3** - Results of the jackknife test showing the importance of the ten studied variables in determining the species potential range using training gain. 1 : Curvature, 2 : Aspect, 3 : Lithology, 4 : Slope, 5 : Pmoy, 6 : Solar radiation, 7 : Tmax, 8 : Tmin, 9 : Tmoy, 10 : Soil humidity.



**s**8

Appendix 1 – Rationale of the jackknife test applied.

The jackknife test facilitates the assessment of the individual contributions of each variable to the overall model. It provides comparative results regarding the performance of the model under three different conditions: i) using each variable alone, ii) including one variable at a time, and iii) using all variables together.

The jackknife test reveals that for *A. marocana, Cedrus atlantica, P. maghrebiana, O. sylvestris, P. halepensis, P. mauretanica, Q. rotundifolia,* and *T. articulata,* Tmoy is the environmental variable that contributes the most information to their SDMs. The gain is more significant when this variable is the only explanatory variable used and results in the most substantial loss of model performance when omitted. For *P. iberica, Q. coccifera,* and *Q. lusitanica,* Tmax provides the most significant gain when used solely, as it is the variable that most affects the quality of the model when neglected. For *Q. faginea,* soil moisture (TVDI) contains the most significant information compared to the other variables, thus improving its SDM. For *Q. pyrenaïca,* Tmin provides the greatest improvement in terms of the model's quality. When considered independently, lithology emerges as the most significant factor influencing the *Q. suber* SDM. Neglecting the impact of this variable also compromises the accuracy of the predictive outcomes.

With the exception of some species such as *P. mauretanica*, *Q. lusitanica*, and *Q. suber*, omitting each variable in turn did not significantly reduce the training gain (lighter blue bars). Therefore, no single variable contained a substantial amount of useful information in addition to that provided by the other remaining variables. However, for all the species analyzed, the SDMs developed by excluding variables one at a time (light blue bars) or with subsets of the remaining variables (dark blue bars) consistently performed worse than models that used all variables together (red bars). This result underscores the importance of including the complete set of variables to improve model effectiveness in the study area.