Availability of tree cavities in a sal forest of Nepal
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Tree cavities are important structural elements of forest ecosystem that host numerous birds, mammals and other cavity-dependent organisms. Pattern of cavity distribution in temperate and boreal forests is relatively well studied, yet little is known about cavities in tropical and subtropical forests. We compared cavity availability in relation to tree condition (living tree and snag), tree species and DBH class between two different sites in a subtropical deciduous sal forest in Nepal: the Chitwan National Park Forest (the park site) and the Khorsor Buffer Zone Forest (the buffer site). Surveys for tree cavities were conducted in 2013 on 50 circular sample plots of size 0.1 ha. We recorded 40 cavity trees in the park site and 31 cavity trees in the buffer site. Density of cavities was on average 22.4 ha, in the park site and 19.2 ha in the buffer site. Cavities occurred mostly in living trees (85.9% cavity trees) and were formed mostly by damage and decay (natural cavities: 74%) or by woodpecker activity (excavated cavities: 26%). Most were observed on three tree species: Shorea robusta, Dillenia pentagyna and Syzygium operculatum, with a mean diameter of 43 cm (range: 12-111 cm). S. operculatum, Myrsine semiserrata and Semecarpus anacardium were overrepresented among tree species with cavities. In snags, 25.0% of all cavities were found in the park site and 8.3% in the buffer site, while snags represented 4.2% and 2.2% of all trees in the two sites, respectively. Statistical analysis indicated that tree species, tree condition and particularly diameter (DBH) were important variables for the prediction of cavity presence. We recommend cavity-bearing tree species to be better protected by forest management in order to help maintain the community of cavity dwellers.
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Introduction

Cavities in trees are an important ecological resource as nesting sites or shelters for many birds, small mammals, reptiles and other organisms (Tidemann & Flavel 1987, Carlson et al. 1998, Yanovlak 2001, Hussain et al. 2013). Worldwide, 10 to 40% of birds and mammals are cavity-dependent species (Cockle et al. 2011). Tree cavities are therefore regarded as resources that may limit number of secondary cavity nesters (Van Haartman 1957, Newton 1994, Newton 1998, Cornelius et al. 2008). Such a limitation seems apparent in cavity-poor, managed forests, where trees are usually harvested before reaching a suitable size for cavity formation. Moreover, foresters actively remove the remaining old or dead trees (potentially cavity trees). The negative effect of such management is additionally reflected on the populations of woodpeckers, which are natural creators of cavities and are often dependent on old and dead trees (Mikusiński 2006). Such practices may lead to a general decline of forest biodiversity and is a major key issue in the forestry-conservation controversy (Poulsen 2002, Wesolowski 2005). However, in natural or semi-natural old growth forests it has demonstrated that a surplus of tree cavities exist and secondary cavity nesters are not usually limited by shortage of cavities (Edington & Edington 1972, Waters et al. 1990, Walankiewicz 1991, Carlson et al. 1998, Bai et al. 2003). The age, species and size of trees have a primary role in defining the abundance and distribution of tree cavities (Wesołowski 2011, 2012, Hussain et al. 2013).

Cavities are predominantly found in old living or dead trees, however, bird species often occupy cavities located in middle-age living trees of medium size (Wesołowski 1996, Czeszczewik & Walankiewicz 2003, Atkén & Martín 2004, Walankiewicz et al. 2007). Patterns of cavity creation, life-span, and their use are obviously dependent on forest ecology and dynamics, and therefore differ between tropical and temperate regions.

It has been demonstrated that woodpeckers play a keystone role in some forests by providing cavities for other cavity-nesting species (Mikusiński 2006, Cockle et al. 2011).
Many woodpecker species nest in trunks, limbs or branches of dead trees (Bull et al. 1986, Angelstam & Mikusiński 1994, Wesolowski 2011). However, the proportion of woodpecker-made (excavated) and non-excavated cavities may largely differ among different forest types (e.g., coniferous vs. deciduous, managed vs. non-managed), as well as the longevity of cavi-
ties and their attractiveness for different bird species (Wesołowski 2011, 2012). Moreover, the presence of cavities may differ at the individual tree level, since the specific history of each tree affects its suitability either for excavation by woodpeckers or developing natural cavities. All the above factors affect the availability of cavities and their ecological significance in the forests. It is therefore necessary to better understand how different forest management strategies affect the availability of cavities in different forest types, and their importance for the community of cavity-depen-
dent organisms. Although tree cavities are critical compo-
nent of forest ecosystems worldwide, they have been mostly investigated in Europe and North America (Carlson et al. 1998, Alt-
ken & Martin 2004, Cockle et al. 2011, Remm & Lőhmus 2011, Wesolowski 2012), while little is known about their charac-
teristics and occupancy rate in Asia (Bai et al. 2003, Hussain et al. 2015), where forests often harbor a very diverse assemblages of woodpeckers (Lammertink 2004, Mikusiński 2006, Kumar et al. 2011) and likely a different community of cavity dependent organisms. As in other parts of the world, the maintenance of biological diversity is a major objective of the Nepal’s protected areas, including the renowned Chitwan National Park located in the sub-
tropical lowland region. Biodiversity con-
servation strategies aim to secure the presence of tree cavities (Cooke & Hannon 2011) and maintain the complete guild of cavity nesters and other obligate users. Unfortunately, little is known about the availability, distribution and use of cavity trees in subtropical forests of Asian sub-
continent.

In this study we examined the density and origin of tree cavities in the sal forests (i.e., broadly distributed forest type domi-
nated by the sal tree – Shorea robusta) of the Chitwan National Park and its buffer zone. The ultimate goal was to describe tree cavities in terms of tree species, characteristics and conditions, in order to better understand the importance of primary cavity-nesters (excavators) as cavity crea-
tors. We compared two sites with different management regimes to assess the poten-
tial effect of different levels of human im-
 pact on the availability of cavity resources.

Material and methods

Study area

The study was conducted in the subtropical lowlands of the inner Terai region, na-
mely in the Chitwan National Park (CNP), and in the adjoining buffer zone forest at Khorsor, in a valley between Siwalik and Mahaharbat Mountain ranges, south-
central Nepal (Fig. 1). The altitude in this area ranges from 110 to 850 m a.s.l. The region has a subtropical climate with a dry season (October-May) and a rainy monsoon period (June-September). The Chitwan National Park (27° 34′ - 27° 68′ N, 83° 87′ - 84° 74′ E) covers 932 km². For centuries, the Chitwan area has been used for royal hunting and managed in order to enhance the game through, e.g., low intensity fires to increase the annual production of grass (Nepal & Weber 1995). Due to the high malaria risk until 1950s, only a few native malaria-resis-
tant tribes (e.g., Tharu) were living in Chit-
wian. Other major forest types are riverine forests in flood-
plains or stands dominated by chire pine (Pinus roxburghii) located at higher eleva-
tions. The sal forests covering substantial part of the CNP are regularly burned in early spring with low intensity fires, mostly burning the dry litter. Removal of dead wood is illegal though occurring (Straede & Treue 2006). In 1996, an additional area of 750 km² surrounding the Chitwan National Park (Fig. 1) was declared as “buffer zone”. This consists of forests and other land-use types including cultivated lands (DNPWC 2011). The buffer zone at Khorsor is adjoined to the Chitrasen and Bagmara community, and delimits the southern bor-
der of the CNP. Khorsor forest serves as major source of firewood and sometimes (illegally) timber for local people. In addition, the area has been heavily used for grazing by livestock. Prescribed burning and dead wood removal are other major anthropogenic activities largely affecting the forest ecosystem. In summary, the buf-
ner zone has generally the same forest and vegetation types as the area falling within the national park, but human impact is more intense.

Sampling design

Fieldwork was done during the dry sea-
son in March 2013. The study area included two sites with different forest conditions: (1) a site within the CNP, with relatively low human pressure (the park site); and (2) a managed forest corridor of buffer zone at Khorsor (the buffer site), where human pressure was higher (Fig. 1). The park site sample plots were located in central low-
land part of the CNP (27° 35′ - 27° 36′ N, 84° 19′ - 84° 22′ E; 135-182 m a.s.l.), while the

Fig. 1 - Location of the study area.
buffer site sample plots were located in northern part of the buffer zone (27° 34′ - 27° 36′ N, 84° 26′ - 84° 27′ E; 163-189 m a.s.l.). In each studied site, 25 circular sample plots of 17.8 m radius (0.1 ha) were established at least 250 m apart along a transect located on available forest roads or trails. Forests of Chitwan are interspersed by grasslands, thus the first point of each transect was located at least 150 m apart from the forest edge. Each plot center was placed at least 50 m within the forest in orthogonal direction from the main road, alternatively on the left or right side. Central points of each plots were set in the field using a Garmin GPS 76CSx® device (Garmin International Inc., Olathe, Kansas, USA). Next point on the transect was located by walking 250 meters along the main road and then moving 50 meters into the forest. When glades or meadows were encountered at the sampling point, we moved another 250 meters on transect to the next turn-point into the forest interior. The same applied for spots that were considered too dangerous, i.e., dense thickets with potentially hidden dangerous animals (tigers, rhinos, sloth bears, wild elephants). Additionally, at least two people equipped with bamboo sticks watched continuously for dangerous animals during data collection.

For all trees within each sample plots, the species, the diameter at breast height (DBH) and the overall condition (live or dead) were recorded. Only trees with DBH ≥ 10 cm were recorded. Each tree was carefully inspected by at least two persons with binoculars. Detected cavities were checked using a ladder or/and a telescopic pole (up to 13 m long) with a low light diode and a webcam connected to a laptop. Using this device and a ladder we could investigate cavities up to 22 m. Therefore, the number of tree cavities should be considered as the minimum bottom diameter of 5 cm). Very large diameter of 2 cm, with the interior and bottom thoroughly cleaned and negative values indicating underrepresented trees, and zero for no selection.

Second, to analyze the relationship between several predictor variables (tree characteristics) and the binary response variable “incidence of tree cavities” (a, i), generalized linear models (GLMs) with binomial error distribution were computed using the statistical software R version 3.0.2 (R Development Core Team 2013). Explanatory variables used in the model were: (i) the tree species (nine species including those very rare that were combined into one category); (ii) the site (park site/buffer site); (iii) the tree condition (dead or alive); (iv) DBH (covariate). The initial model included the main effects (Tree species, Site, Tree condition and DBH) and three 2-way interactions between the categorical variables and DBH. Only those interactions which were biologically most meaningful for our study were included. For each model, we calculated the Akaikes Information Criterion (AIC) and the Akaike weight (w = Burnham & Anderson 2002). The best model (minimal adequate model) was identified by a stepwise deletion procedure based on AIC (Hosmer et al. 1989). We calculated the area under the curve (AUC) as a measure of binary classifier performance (proportion of true positives and false positives). An AUC value of 1 indicates perfect classifier performance (all cases correctly classified) and a value of 0.5 indicates a classifier performance similar to randomness. A 0.05 level of significance was used.

### Results

#### Tree species composition and size distribution

In total, we recorded 1041 live trees and 38 snags. The density of live trees was very similar at both sites (228.0 ha⁻¹ in the park site, N = 25; and 228.4 ha⁻¹ in the buffer site, Table 1). The density of cavity trees and dead trees was very similar at both sites (228.0 ha⁻¹ in the park site, N = 25; and 228.4 ha⁻¹ in the buffer site, Table 2).

### Data analysis

All sampled trees were divided into eight DBH classes: (I) 10-19 cm; (II) 20-29 cm; (III) 30-39 cm; (IV) 40-49 cm; (V) 50-59 cm; (VI) 60-69 cm; (VII) 70-79 cm; (VIII) 80+ cm. Six continuous density variables were analyzed: (a) of cavity trees; (b) all cavities; (c) natural cavities; (d) woody-pecker-made cavities; (e) live trees; and (f) dead trees.

**Tab. 1 -** A comparison of the stand characteristics between Chitwan National Park (the park site) and Khorsor buffer zone forest (the buffer site).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Park site (N = 25)</th>
<th>Buffer site (N = 25)</th>
<th>Mann-Whitney test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live trees ha⁻¹</td>
<td>228.0 ± 14.15</td>
<td>40-330</td>
<td>228.4 ± 19.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snags ha⁻¹</td>
<td>10.0 ± 2.30</td>
<td>0-50</td>
<td>5.2 ± 2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity trees ha⁻¹</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.44</td>
<td>0-40</td>
<td>12.4 ± 1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cavity trees (all ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>22.4 ± 4.04</td>
<td>0-50</td>
<td>19.2 ± 3.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural cavities ha⁻¹</td>
<td>16.0 ± 2.82</td>
<td>0-40</td>
<td>14.8 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavated cavities ha⁻¹</td>
<td>6.4 ± 1.72</td>
<td>0-30</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where the electivity (E) is a function of the availability of a tree species (or DBH class) in the study plots (p), and their relative cavity presence (r). Available trees and cavity trees were expressed as mean percentage values. E values range from -1.0 to +1.0 with positive values indicating overrepresented and negative values indicating underrepresented trees, and zero for no selection.

### Tab. 2 - Density of tree species (trees ha⁻¹) in the park site and the buffer site.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree species</th>
<th>Park site (N = 25)</th>
<th>Buffer site (N = 25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>Mean ± SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shorea robusta</td>
<td>112.8 ± 12.27</td>
<td>49.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dillenia pentagona</td>
<td>44.4 ± 7.03</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzygium oregulatum</td>
<td>43.6 ± 7.85</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myrsine semiflora</td>
<td>6.8 ± 3.35</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toona ciliata</td>
<td>4.8 ± 2.71</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semecarpus anacardium</td>
<td>4.4 ± 2.45</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trewia nudiflora</td>
<td>4.0 ± 2.16</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litsea monopetala</td>
<td>2.4 ± 1.44</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamarindus indica</td>
<td>2.0 ± 1.63</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syzygium cumini</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.55</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emblica officinalis</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.80</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminalia belerica</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminalia chebula</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xeromphis uliginosa</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.40</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminalia alata</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminalia termosera</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malolius philippensis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spondias pinata</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>228.0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Fig. 2** - Distribution of all live trees according their DBH classes in the sal forest. Colors represent the two study sites: green - the park site (lower human pressure, N = 25) and yellow - the buffer site (higher human pressure, N = 25). Mean and SE are shown.

**Fig. 3** - Distribution of Shorea robusta according its DBH classes in the sal forest. Colors represent the two study sites: green - the park site (low human pressure, N = 25) and yellow - the buffer site (higher human pressure, N = 25). Mean and SE are shown.

**Fig. 4** - Distribution of Syzygium operculatum according its DBH classes in sal forest. Colors represent two sites: green - the park site (low human pressure, N = 25) and yellow - the buffer site (higher human pressure, N = 25). Mean and SE are shown.

**Fig. 5** - Distribution of Dillenia pentagyna according its DBH classes in sal forest. Colors represent the two study sites: green - the park site (low human pressure, N = 25) and yellow - the buffer site (higher human pressure, N = 25). Mean and SE are shown.

The density of snags was twice as high in the park site compared to the buffer site, and this difference was significant (on average 10.0 and 5.2 ha⁻¹, respectively, Tab. 1). Overall, 18 tree species were identified, including 14 species at the park site and 13 at the buffer site (Tab. 2). Sal was the most common tree species in both sites (49.5% of trees in the park site, N = 25 and 45.7% in the buffer site, N = 25). In the park site, the next abundant species were D. pentagyna (19.3%) and S. operculatum (19.1%). Terminalia alata, which was not recorded on the park site, was the second most numerous species in the buffer site (22.6%), followed by D. pentagyna (21.3%). All other species were less common, representing 0.2-3.0% of trees at the park site and 0.2-4.4% at the buffer site (Tab. 1).

S. robusta was the most abundant dead tree in both study sites (11 trees in the park site and 8 trees in the buffer site), while S. operculatum was represented by 7 snags in the park site and 3 snags in the buffer site. One T. alata snag was found in the buffer site, and the remaining dead trees were not identified. Dead trees were 4.2% of all standing trees in the park site and 2.2% in the buffer site.

The DBH of all live trees in this study ranged from 10 to 111 cm. Overall, trees belonging to DBH classes I and II corresponded to 54.0% in the park site (N = 25) and 51.3% of all live trees in the buffer site (N = 25). Trees with DBH ≥ 50 cm were 23.9% of the total trees in the park site and 22.4% in the buffer site (Fig. 2). S. robusta was the most represented species in classes III-VI in the park site, and in classes I and III-VI in the buffer site (Fig. 3). S. operculatum was recorded only in classes I-IV at both sites, though it was much more abundant in the park site than in the buffer site (Fig. 4). Similarly, D. pentagyna was found mostly in DBH class I and II at both sites (Fig. 5). Dead trees were found in classes I-VI. The thinnest snags (I class) dominated at the park site, while DBH classes III-IV dominated at the buffer site (Fig. 6).

**Cavity trees**

Density of trees with at least one cavity was slightly higher in the park site (on average: 16.0 ha⁻¹, N = 25) compared to the buffer site (12.4 ha⁻¹, N = 25), but such difference was not significant (p > 0.05 - Tab. 1). Cavities were found only in 6.7% of all trees in the park site and in 5.3% in the buffer site. Most cavity trees were alive (82.5% in the park site and 90.3% in the buffer site - Tab. 3), with no significant differences between sites ($\chi^2 = 0.36, df = 1, p = 0.5513$ with Yates correction). Live cavity trees were 5.8% in the park site and 4.9% of all live trees in the buffer site, while dead cavity trees represented 28.0 and 23.1% of all snags, respectively. Snags were overrepresented among the cavity trees both at the park site ($\chi^2 = 13.85, df = 1, p = 0.0002$) and at the buffer site ($\chi^2 = 6.45, df = 1, p = 0.011$).
Considering all 50 plots from both sites together, only one cavity was found in 50 out of 71 cavity trees, while 21 trees had from 2 to 5 cavities. In 16 trees two cavities were found, and only five trees had more than two cavities. In live cavity trees an average of \(1.41 \pm 0.88\) cavities (\(n = 61\)) were found, and in snags \(1.80 \pm 1.23\) cavities (\(n = 10\)), but this difference was not significant \((z = 1.17, p = 0.2406)\).

We recorded cavities in eight tree species. In both sites most cavities were found on trees of three species, i.e., S. robusta, S. operculatum and D. pentagyna. The other species rarely contained cavities (Tab. 3). Some species were clearly overrepresented among cavity trees: Syzygium operculatum in all plots (Ivlev’s index 0.37 in the park site and 0.44 in the buffer site), Myrsine semisserrata and Semecarpus anacardium in the buffer site (Ivlev’s index 0.65 and 0.72, respectively - Fig. 7).

Cavity trees were on average thinner in the park site (DBH 38.7 ± 19.97 cm, \(n = 40\)) compared to the buffer site (47.7 ± 22.53 cm, \(n = 31\)), but these differences were not significant \((z = -1.95, p = 0.0508)\). DBH of cavity trees ranged from 12 to 111 cm, and these differences were not significant \((z = -1.95, p = 0.0508)\). DBH of cavity trees was less likely in dead trees, as suggested by the significant effect of tree condition on DBH (Tab. 4). DBH of cavity trees was positively correlated with cavity presence (Fig. 8). Two-thirds of cavity trees were at least 30 cm in DBH. Within DBH classes, thickest trees were overrepresented: in the park site VII class (E = 0.47), in the buffer site VIII and VII (E = 0.63 and 0.52, respectively - Fig. 9).

The generalized linear models indicated that tree species, tree condition and DBH were important variables for predicting the presence of cavities (Tab. 4). DBH had a significant positive effect – the larger the DBH of a tree, the higher the probability of cavity presence \((z = 5.20, p < 0.001)\). Presence of cavities was less likely in dead trees, as suggested by the significant effect of tree condition. The results indicated that chances for the presence of cavities significantly differed between the tree species (Tab. 4).

**Cavities**

The density of cavities was on average 22.4 ± 4.04 ha\(^{-1}\) in the park site (\(N = 25\)) and 19.2 ± 3.31 ha\(^{-1}\) in the buffer site (\(N = 25\)), and these differences were not significant \((p > 0.05 – \text{Tab. 1})\). Most of the cavities were non-excavated (natural cavities, 71.4% in the park site and 77.1% in the buffer site – Tab. 1), and the proportion of natural and excavated cavities in the two sites was similar \((\chi^2 = 0.43, df = 1, p = 0.5120)\). Only three out of the 27 woodpecker-made cavities were located in snags (11.1%) while almost one-fifth of 77 natural cavities (19.5%) were located in snags.

**Discussion**

Despite the different management regimes, we found that the two study sites
Strategies to manage dead wood in sal forest and its impact on biodiversity

Trees in studied areas

The lower density of snags observed at the buffer site may be easily explained by a more intense removal of dead wood by villagers. The Chitwan National Park authorities do not allow removal of dead trees within the park, though some snags are illegally removed regardless (Stræde & Helles 2000). Annual prescribed fires also has the potential to lower the number of snags in both sites, as dead trunks of some tree species burn more readily than other species that are fire resistant (e.g., sal dead trunks - Gautam & Devoe 2005). In general, the amount of dead wood is usually much lower in managed forests in comparison to protected forests (Walankiewicz et al. 2002, Kennedy et al. 2010, Czeszczewik et al. 2015). However, this pattern is highly dependent on forest history, intensity of forest management, natural disturbance regimes and forest type (Lõhmus et al. 2005, Czeszczewik et al. 2015).

As compared to temperate forests, snags are generally less abundant in the tropical forest due to their higher decomposition rates (Gibbs et al. 1993). Indeed, in the European temperate deciduous forests of the Białowieża National Park (Poland), the proportion of dead trees with DBH > 20 cm was 9.9% of the total number of stems, while their density was as much as 26.7 trees ha\(^{-1}\) (Walankiewicz et al. 2002). Such figure is about 4-6 times higher than that observed at the Chitwan National Park, which has a subtropical monsoon climate with high humidity during the rainy season, thus favoring a more rapid decomposition of wood. However, S. robusta, the dominant species in our study area (both in terms of living and dead trees) is extremely durable and resistant to decay (Orwa et al. 2009).

The thinnest DBH classes (I and II) of living trees represented half of all trees found in each studied site, indicating a well-established regeneration at both sites. The most common species S. robusta had more trees of the thinnest class in the buffer site.
Although most cavities were found in S. robusta (the most common species in the study sites), cavities were clearly underrepresented in this species. Differences in cavity occurrence among species have been already reported (Aitken & Martin 2004, Wesołowski 2011, 2012). In our study, in addition to S. robusta, S. operculatum and D. pentagyna were important cavity trees. On the other hand, our results concerning S. operculatum are contradictory to some extent (compare Tab. 5 and Fig. 7), suggesting that not the species itself but its DBH distribution may affect the presence of cavities in this species. When DBH was included in the model as covariate, the species effect of S. operculatum was not significant anymore. Furthermore, both S. operculatum and D. pentagyna, that actually never grow very high and thick, captured a substantial proportion of cavities in our study. Although the wood of the above-mentioned species is considered hard (D. pentagyna), very hard (S. operculatum) or even extremely hard (S. robusta), their predisposition to the formation of cavities is apparent (Khanha & Chaturvedi 2012). This is probably linked to processes like wood cracking, branch breakage and also wood decaying caused by fungi. For example, old specimens of S. robusta are generally resistant to fire, but fire wounds result in infection and the trees become prone to heart rot due to fungi (Bakshi 1957).

Cavity trees were found mostly to be relatively thick (average DBH: 43 cm). Most cavities were found on living trees rather than on snags in both forest types. Similar result were found in a tropical forest of Costa Rica (Boyle et al. 2008) and in Canadian forests (Aitken & Martin 2007, Boyle et al. 2008). In contrast, Hussain et al. (2013) found 59% of cavities in dead trees in a coniferous forest of Pakistan, and Walankiewicz et al. (2011) recorded the three-fourth of cavities in snags of coniferous forests in the Białowieża National Park (Poland). In summary, the proportion of cavities found in living trees vs. snags seems to be dependent on stand type, as well as on the wood characteristics of the tree species (softness/hardness).

Our study revealed that non-excavated cavities in both forest types (77%) were more common than excavated (woodpecker-made) cavities. In a mature forest in Mongolia three-fourth of secondary cavity birds nested in non-excavated cavities (Bai et al. 2003). The presence of woodpeckers does not seem a prerequisite to support a diverse cavity-dependent community, as exemplified by the existence of forest ecosystems without woodpeckers or other active excavators in Australia and New Zealand (Gibbons & Lindenmayer 2002). In contrast, the Chitwan forest has a diverse woodpecker community (over 15 species) providing a fairly high number of newly-excavated cavities each year. Our study from sal forest indicates that these cavities account only for a minor share of all available cavities. The assessment of their use by cavity-dependent organisms shall be the object of future research.
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