Does management improve the state of chestnut (*Castanea sativa* L.) on Belasitsa Mountain, southwest Bulgaria?
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Chestnut forests in the Belasitsa Mountain region of southwest Bulgaria were traditionally intensively managed as orchard-like stands for nut production. More recently, management intensity has been sharply reduced as a result of rural abandonment, which combined with the effects of chestnut blight has led to marked structural changes in these forests. The focus of this paper is on the seed-based regeneration potential and seedling survival of chestnut in mixed stands managed over the past 15 years. Results suggest that management of stands under a high-forest system is appropriate, and regeneration from seed has advantages over coppicing if competing species can be controlled. An investigation into "sanitation cutting" performed since the 1990s shows that this had not a successful response to blight infestations.
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Introduction

For many centuries European chestnut forests have been mostly managed as short rotation coppices and orchards for nut production (Bourgoise 1987, Lauteri et al. 2009). During the second half of the 20th century chestnut cultivation substantially decreased because rural populations fell and the species became less important as a staple food. Chestnut trees also sustained substantial damage from chestnut blight caused by the fungus *Cryphonectria parasitica* (Murrill) Barr (Cutini 2001, Pividori et al. 2004) and from ink-disease caused by *Phytophthora* spp. Abandoned open-structured chestnut stands and coppices have both been partially replaced by climax communities associated with various *Quercus* or *Fagus* species (Paci 1992, Romane et al. 1995, Prindya et al. 1996, Conedera et al. 2001, Manetti et al. 2001, Gallardo-Lancho 2001, Lushaj & Tabaku 2009, Pezzi et al. 2009, 2011, Zlatanov et al. 2013).

In recent years, biological control of chestnut blight and the natural spread of hypovirulence throughout most of Europe (Milgroom & Cortesi 2004) allowed chestnut to be again a viable species for wood production. The increasing demand for high-quality wood production has fostered a discussion about identifying appropriate management techniques to assure sustainable forest management for sawlog production (Heiniger & Rilging 1994, Amorini et al. 1997, Manetti et al. 2009). As a result, the production of high-value chestnut timber is now being enhanced in some parts of Europe where growing conditions are appropriate (Conedera et al. 2001). However, this was mainly achieved by extending the rotation of coppices up to 30 or 50 years rather than by management of chestnut in high forests originating from seed (Bourgoise 1987, Amorini et al. 2000, 2001). Currently, there are few experiments into how chestnut regenerates and grows under high-forest silvicultural methods, even though these methods are extensively used in most mixed deciduous forests in Europe (Cutini 2001). Hence, little is known of how the behavioral patterns of chestnut could be managed to secure its future survival in species-rich mixed forests under a high-forest system.

After a period of abandonment in the first half of the 20th century, interest in the management of chestnut stands on the Belasitsa Mountain (southwest Bulgaria) rose again in the 1990s, as a consequence of the spread of the chestnut blight disease. The first documented identification of *C. parasitica* on Belasitsa Mountain was in 1993 (Petkov & Rossnev 2000). Management response was confined to the implementation of sanitation cuttings through the harvesting of trees with heavily damaged crowns (degree of damage > 60%). The first sanitation cuttings in chestnut-dominated and co-dominated stands on the mountain were carried out in 1989. More than 2700 m² of chestnut wood were harvested up to 1992. From 1993, the magnitude of wood harvested in sanitation cuttings substantially increased. To 2006 more than 30 000 m² of chestnut wood were harvested. Since 2006, the intensity of sanitation cuttings abruptly decreased and they were replaced by clearcuts in small patches (Velichkov et al. 2011).

It is currently under debate how forest management should be adapted to better utilize the potential chestnut-dominated and co-dominated forests on the northern slopes of Belasitsa Mountain. The forestry community in Bulgaria has never regarded their transformation into coppice stands as a viable alternative, mainly on the grounds of regional historical traditions of chestnut management as high forests. According to Zlatanov et al. (2012), sustainable management of chestnut on Belasitsa Mountain in high forest systems seems to be in principle possible, on account of the retained seed regeneration potential of the species. This is expected to increase the production of high-value chestnut timber, at least on favorable sites (Mihaylov 2004), without endangering the social (e.g., nut collection) and ecological (habitat for many animal species) values of the stands. Accordingly, the objective of this study was to: (i) study and evaluate the effects of recent management (over the past 20 years) on the health and regeneration status of chestnut; and (ii) evaluate options for future forest management.

Materials and methods

Study area and sampling design

Although Belasitsa Mountain is situated comparatively close to the Mediterranean Sea (approx. 100 km), the climate on its northern slopes favors the development of...
Field measurements

Measurements in the systematic network of sample plots were performed in 2011. In each plot, the number of all trees larger than 8 cm at breast height (total and by species) was assessed and the number of stumps counted in order to determine the sanitation cut intensity. The degree of crown damage of chestnut trees was surveyed in the period June-August. Damage classes were defined using UNECE and EU standardized criteria (Eichhorn et al. 2010). Damage of more than 25% was taken as a threshold for classifying a tree as “damaged” and of more than 60% as “heavily damaged”. The occurrence of symptoms or signs of the chestnut blight disease caused by *C. parasitica* was revealed by the presence of numerous completely dry branches in the crowns of infected trees. The presence of infection symptoms such as bark necrosis, reproductive fungal structures and mycelial fans of *C. parasitica* in the inner bark of the chestnut individuals were also considered (Georgieva et al. 2013). Recent virulent infections of the fungus were detected by the wilting of young branches and dry leaves remaining on the killed twigs. No occurrences of ink disease (caused by *Phytophthora* spp.) or Asian gall wasp (*Dryocosmus kuriphius* Yasumatsu) were observed in this study. Although ink disease is an important cause of rot and defoliation of chestnut elsewhere in Europe, it is not currently considered a serious problem in the forests of the Belasitsa Mountain region (Georgieva et al. 2011).

Regeneration parameters were assessed in four nested quadrats in each plot. The nested quadrats were set in four directions (North, East, South and West) at 10 meters distance from the plot center. Two regeneration measurement plots of 1 and 3 meters of radius were installed in each quadrat. Parameters of seedlings (individuals of up to 1.3 m in height) and saplings (individuals of more than 1.3 m in height and up to 8 cm in dbh) were assessed from the 1 m and 3 m plots respectively. All individuals in the regeneration stratum were counted and species identified. Chestnuts were additionally classified according to their crown quality. All chestnuts not damaged or subjected to repeated die-back and re-sprouting processes due to heavy suppression (“bush-like” crowns) and also with no disease symptoms were referred to as having potential for further silvicultural treatments (”morphologically good condition”). Others were classified as having “morphologically bad condition”. Finally, the most recent shoot growth of all chestnuts of morphologically good condition was measured. Field measurements in harvested patches were performed in 2013. For that purpose, a linear transect was drawn across the longer dimension of each patch. Nested quadrats were set every 10 meters along the transect line. Seedling and sapling parameters were assessed using the protocol described for mature stands. A minimum of five quadrats were installed in each patch.

Data analyses

Hierarchical regression analyses were used to explain the variation at the plot scale in the crown damage of chestnut trees (eqn. 1) and in the regeneration attributes (eqn. 2):

\[
P_{25}(P_{40}) = f(Alt, HI, IAH)\]

\[
D_{25}(D_{40}, D_{10}, P_{coh}, P_{esp}, LSG_{coh}, LSG_{esp}) = f(Alt, HI, IAH)\]

where \(P_{25}(P_{40})\) is the proportion of chestnut trees with 25 or 60 percent of crown damage, \(Alt\) is the altitude, \(HI\) is the sanitation cut intensity (not used in analyses of patch cuts), and \(IAH\) represents the years after harvest, \(D_{25}\) and \(D_{40}\) are total and chestnut regeneration density, \(D_{10}\) is the density of chestnuts in the regeneration having potential for further silvicultural treatment (of morphologically good condition), \(P_{coh}\) and \(P_{esp}\) are the proportions of morphologically good chestnut regenerated through seed (coh) and coppice (esp), and \(LSG_{coh}\) and \(LSG_{esp}\) are the most recent shoot growth of morphologically good trees.

\(Alt\) was entered into the models first and then \(HI\) and \(IAH\). The choice of altitude as the only site-defining explanatory variable was based on past research in the area (Zlatanov et al. 2013). Due to the large variance in response variables, 95% confidence intervals were computed for the parameter estimates using a bootstrap resampling procedure (Manly 1997).
data sets equal in size to the original. Parameter estimates were calculated for each of these resampled data sets, and used to derive sampling distributions for the transition probabilities of interest. The 2.5% and 97.5% percentiles of these sampling distributions defined the lower and upper bounds of 95% bootstrap confidence intervals for each parameter. Bias corrected and accelerated confidence intervals (BCaCI - Efron 1987) that adjust for both bias and skewness in the bootstrap distribution are reported.

Results

Mature stands

The proportion of chestnut in the species composition of mature stands averaged 53% (Q25=32%, Q75=81%). It was predominantly mixed with sessile oak (Quercus petraea Liebl.) at elevations of up to 800 m a.s.l. and with European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) above 700 m a.s.l. Individuals of hop-hornbeam (Ostrya carpinifolia Scop.) and silver lime (Tilia tomentosa Moench.) were also found in most plots across the altitudinal gradient. In 42 of the 50 plots more than 50% of chestnut trees were characterized by damaged crowns. The proportion of damaged trees exceeded 70% in 31 plots. In 32 plots, more than 25% of chestnuts were heavily damaged. All damaged chestnut trees were characterized by symptoms or indicators of chestnut blight disease. When Alt was entered into the hierarchical regression models by itself, it significantly predicted the variation in $P_{csf}$ (0.05 ≤ BCaCI of $R^2$ ≤ 0.42 - Fig. 2) and in $P_{mo}$ (0.01 ≤ BCaCI of $R^2$ ≤ 0.26). HI and IAH (which varied between 15% and 50% and between 4 and 15 years respectively) did not significantly improve the prediction of the variation of either $P_{csf}$ or $P_{mo}$.

$D$, averaged 15 000 ha$^{-1}$ ($Q_{25}=7300$ ha$^{-1}$, $Q_{75}=25 000$ ha$^{-1}$). In addition to the main overstorey tree species, other tree and shrub species components comprising more than 3% of the regeneration stratum included flowering ash (Fraxinus ornus L.) and common hazel (Corylus vellana L.). Mean $D_{cs}$ was 3300 ha$^{-1}$ ($Q_{25}=1900$ ha$^{-1}$, $Q_{75}=4800$ ha$^{-1}$). Fifty-five percent of all chestnut individuals were of seed origin. $D_{so}$ averaged 2400 ha$^{-1}$ ($Q_{25}=1400$ ha$^{-1}$, $Q_{75}=3500$ ha$^{-1}$). Seventy-eight percent of seed-originated chestnuts were of morphologically good condition, while 62% of chestnut coppice shoots were of morphologically bad condition, mostly infected by C. parasitica or Diplodina castaneae Prill. & Delacr. On average $L_{SGcs}$ and $L_{SGso}$ were respectively 4 cm ($Q_{25}=3$ cm, $Q_{75}=7$ cm) and 7 cm ($Q_{25}=5$ cm, $Q_{75}=10$ cm). None of the regeneration parameters in the mature stands were significantly predicted (at $\alpha\leq0.05$) by the regression models (eqn. 2).

Fig. 2 - Proportion of chestnut trees with damaged (circles) and heavily damaged (triangles) crowns at the plot scale. Black symbols represent plots in which sanitation cuts were performed. Dotted lines represent the 95% confidence intervals of $P_{csf}=\alpha+\beta Alt$; 101.3 ≤ BCaCI of $\alpha$ ≤ 155.9; -0.137 ≤ BCaCI of $\beta$ ≤ 0.045; dashed lines represent the 95% confidence intervals of $P_{mo}=\alpha+\beta Alt$; 37.6 ≤ BCaCI of $\alpha$ ≤ 82.48; -0.079 ≤ BCaCI of $\beta$ ≤ 0.010.

Patch cuttings

The regeneration stratum in patch cuttings was characterized by high species richness. A total of 38 tree and shrub species were identified. Besides chestnut, the following species comprised more than 3% of the species mix: sessile oak, European beech, silver lime, common hazel and flowering ash. $D$ and $D_{so}$ in patch cuttings averaged 13 700 ha$^{-1}$ ($Q_{25}=10 600$ ha$^{-1}$, $Q_{75}=17 100$ ha$^{-1}$) and 3400 ha$^{-1}$ ($Q_{25}=2500$ ha$^{-1}$, $Q_{75}=3900$ ha$^{-1}$) respectively. The variation in these parameters was not significantly predicted by Alt and IAH. Forty-nine percent of all chestnut individuals were of seed origin. Alt by itself significantly predicted the variation in $D_{so}$ (0.19 ≤ BCaCI of $R^2$ ≤ 0.61 - Fig. 3). When IAH (ranging between 1 and 9) was added to the regression model it was not a significant predictor of $D_{so}$ (BCaCI of the parameter estimate varied between -182.24 and 110.09). The variation in $P_{so}$ was not significantly predicted by Alt and IAH. On average 99% ($Q_{25}=95%$, $Q_{75}=100%$) of all
seem to increase the spreading of C. parasitica, actually improves the vegetative condition of the stands, both directly through removing the virulent cankers and indirectly by increasing the individual tolerance to the disease by selection of the most vigorous trees. Our longer-term study, however, found no significant association between the intensity of sanitation cuts and the proportion of chestnut trees with more than 25 or 60 percent of crown damage. According to Prospe-ro et al. (2006), C. parasitica readily survived in cankers for more than 1 year after cutting of trees and considerable saprophytic activity and sporulation of the pathogen on the bark of recently dead chestnut wood was observed. As a necrotrophic bark fungus, C. parasitica probably exploits the weakened host defense mechanisms, as well as the favorable conditions of the moribund bark substrate after trees are cut.

Closed canopies of mature stands with a substantial chestnut component provide low and uniform light availability for the reproduction stratum, which explains the weak shoot growth of the light-demanding, seed-regenerated chestnut individuals (Pridnya et al. 1996). Our results suggest that sanitation cuts did not improve the growth of chestnut seedlings. Zlatanov et al. (2013), using hemispherical photographs in chestnut-dominated forests, found that they have the potential to rapidly fill newly opened canopy gaps, mainly due to the rapid growth of the species-rich shrub storey, which usually remains intact during harvest operations. Therefore, the sanitation cuts did not serve as a proper seeding felling in the sense of the “shelterwood system”, as expected at the time of their implementation. G. Gogushev (unpublished data) ascertained that the removal of all beech trees in a mature randomly mixed beech-chestnut stand located in the upper part of the distribution range of chestnut on Belasitsa Mountain (900 m a.s.l.) had a much better effect than sanitation cuttings in achieving regeneration of chestnut and beech five years after harvest. The growth of chestnut seedlings exceeded that of the beech, with the shrub understory being less prominent than at lower altitudes.

The implementation of a final regeneration harvest consistent with the biology of the species and their reproductive strategy is important to achieve a desired species mix in the new stand (Oliver & Larson 2019, Zlatanov et al. 2010). Chestnut is characterized seedlings that grow quickly under full light. In this respect, it has an advantage over seedlings of other tree species such as European beech, sessile oak and silver lime when regenerating in mixed stands (Velichkov et al. 2011). Our results indicate that nearly half (49%) of chestnut individuals in patch cuttings were of seed origin. Their importance in regenerating the stands is clear from their good quality and health across the age and altitudinal gradients (on average 99% of chestnut seedlings in patch cuttings were not damaged and without chestnut blight disease symptoms). Chestnut seedlings were capable of rapid growth (most recent growth of 60 cm, on average) during the first nine years after the mature canopy final harvest and regardless of altitude. Only coppice shoots of chestnut (average LSG of 70 cm) and some of the other admixed species have the potential to overtop chestnut seedlings. An especially influential competitor of chestnut seedlings is the common hazel (average LSG of 55 cm). As ascertained in the current study, such species not only participate in the understory of mature chestnut-dominated and co-dominated stands, but also develops rapidly growing coppice shoots after harvest. Another important competitor is the silver lime (average LSG of 60 cm). The reproductive strategy of this species is to form fast growing root suckers, which grants a great advantage in clear cuttings (Kalmukov 1987, Tsonev 2002). As a result, silver lime forms biogroups that compete in growth with both chestnut seedlings and coppice shoots. As shown in the results, chestnut coppice shoots appeared to become less reliable with age, especially at lower elevations, where they were highly susceptible to chestnut blight disease. The very high susceptibility of coppice shoots to chestnut blight is confirmed by T. Zlatanov (unpublished data) for 12 to 15 year old chestnut stands of coppice origin in the Macedonian part of Belasitsa Mountain, in which the proportion of shoots with symptoms of the disease was as high as 90 percent.

**Implications for management**

Sanitation cuts performed in chestnut-dominated and co-dominated stands on Belasitsa Mountain did not improve either the chestnut health status or the species regeneration potential, hence we do not have sufficient evidence to support their further implementation in the region. The absence of intensive ongoing management may have biodiversity advantages: Nikolov et al. (2011), Simov (2011) and Popov (2011) emphasized the importance of the large snags and rotting logs in chestnut forests on Belasitsa Mountain in providing habitat for many associated species of high conservation value, both on a European and a national scale. This includes various woodpecker species (especially White-backed Woodpecker Dendrocopos leuco-tos), Semi-collared Flycatcher (Ficedula semitorquata), bat species etc.

In this study, we observed a high proportion of seed-originated chestnuts not damaged and with no chestnut blight disease symptoms both in non-managed stands and in stands subjected to sanitation cuts. This suggests that chestnuts have retained a high

---

**Discussion**

To date, research aimed at the restoration of European chestnut has been largely focused on the component C. parasitica, and in particular on the development of biological methods to this goal (Braganca et al. 2005, Juhasova et al. 2004, Myteberi et al. 2013). According to Turchetti & Maresi (1999), the level of chestnut blight damage in Europe is decreasing as a consequence of the development of hypovirulent strains of a viral parasite that attacks the fungus. Several recent attempts have been made to determine whether or not natural hypovirulence is present in Europe, especially at lower altitudes. This is in accordance with the findings of several previous research (MacDonald & Fullbright 1991, Heiniger & Rigling 1994, Perlerou & Diamandis 2006, Papazova-Anakieva et al. 2008, Akilli et al. 2009, Myteberi et al. 2013).

It was expected in the 1990s that sanitation cuts would improve the health status of chestnut in chestnut-dominated and co-dominated stands on Belasitsa Mountain. Amorini et al. (2001) reported that thinning, even if it
regeneration potential, as it was well manifested in patch cuttings where the development of a new coppice stand was started, as well as the growth of a new seed-originated cohorts of chestnut and other valuable tree species from European beech and sessile oak. This successful seed regeneration is a prerequisite for the implementation of high forest silviculture as a valid alternative either to abandonment or management as coppice stands.

Selection of an appropriate silvicultural system poses a great challenge for management of chestnut-dominated and co-dominated stands on Belasitsa Mountain. Based on the results of the current research, a patch cut system (after Smith 1986) might be recognized as the preferred type of clearcut silvicultural system, promoting natural regeneration in small openings. All definitions of patch cuts include the concept of small openings that will be managed as individual stand units, unlike the openings created in the irregular shelterwood systems. The application of the latter seems also appropriate to the reproduction strategy of chestnut, but would require a more comprehensive planning in order to assure synchronization in increasing the patches in several steps until the entire stand has had the overstorey removed. The advantages of both systems are related, with possibilities for multipurpose management (including seed production and biodiversity conservation) and maintenance of a more complex forest structure. It is difficult to predict the long-term development of chestnut in the new stands, even under the assumption of properly performed early release treatments and precommercial thinnings (both are recommended), due to the generally unfavorable health status of chestnut in the area. The introduction of hypovirulence-based biological controls of C. parasitica might be well justified and capable of protecting susceptible chestnut trees.

Acknowledgements

Support for this study was provided by the European Economic Area as part of the project BG 0031 “State and prospects of the Castanea sativa population in Belasitsa mountain: climate change adaptation; maintenance of biodiversity and sustainable ecosystem management”, as well as by the European Regional Development Fund and the state budget of the Republic of Bulgaria through the project DIR-513326-7-101 “Implementation of Activities for the Management of Belasitsa Nature Park”.

References


