

Identification of the ambrosia beetle *Anisandrus dispar* (Fabricius) (Coleoptera Curculionidae Scolytinae) using TaqMan™ probe assay on biological samples

Domenico Rizzo⁽¹⁾, Andrea D'Agostino⁽²⁾, Igor Stabile⁽²⁾, Chiara Ranaldi⁽²⁾, Andrea Marrucci⁽²⁾, Claudia Gabriela Zubieta⁽²⁾, Daniele Da Lio⁽²⁾, Linda Bartolini⁽¹⁾, Fabrizio Pennacchio⁽³⁾, Elisabetta Rossi⁽²⁻⁵⁾, Antonio P Garonna⁽⁴⁾

The European shot-hole borer Anisandrus dispar (Fabricius) (Coleoptera Curculionidae Scolytinae) is a well-known ambrosia beetle living on shrubs and several ornamental and fruiting trees where it can cause heavy damages. Like other harmful xyleborine species, A. dispar can represent a potential threat outside its native region. Molecular diagnostic tools can lead to accurate identification of xylophagous species hidden in wooden matrix in phytosanitary surveys at entry points. A molecular assay based on gPCR TagMan™ Probes was developed for the identification of A. dispar from different matrices. To setup and perform the test, DNA extraction was carried out from adults, larvae, and artificial samples of wood chips from oak healthy plants whose lysates were contaminated with a known amount of DNA of A. dispar adults. The assay has proven inclusive for A. dispar, and exclusive towards the non-target organisms, showing 100% analytical specificity. The limit of detection was 0.32 pg μ L⁻¹ for the samples of insect adult DNA of *A. dispar*, and at 0.8 pg μ L⁻¹ for the samples containing lysates of Quercus spp. and 0.1 ng μL^{-1} of A. dispar adult DNA. Repeatability and reproducibility showed low values independently from the matrix used for DNA extraction, confirming the possible use in diagnostics of biological samples even if not directly related to the presence of A. dispar developmental stages. The presented approach may be adjusted and applied for phytosanitary purposes to other quarantine pests and rapidly detect possible infestations in vegetal matrices globally traded.

Keywords: European Shot-hole Borer, Xyleborini, Quarantine Species, Molecular Diagnostics, Biological Traces

Introduction

Increasing threats to forest and fruiting trees around the world is driven by the introduction and establishment of exotic Ambrosia and bark beetles (Curculionidae: Scolytinae and Platypodinae) outside native territories. The Ambrosia beetle Anisandrus dispar (Coleoptera Curculionidae Scolytinae) is a Palaearctic species widely distributed in Europe and Asia (Smith et al. 2020) and established across North Amer-

ica, where it should be considered a highrisk invasive quarantine species (Rabaglia et al. 2006, Gomez et al. 2018). The host plant range include many species of deciduous trees and conifers (French & Roeper 1975, Wood & Bright 1992, Sauvard 2004, Galko et al. 2014). Although A. dispar usually attacks decaying trees, this beetle can colonize and severely infest wounded, injured or apparent healthy plants (Tanasković et al. 2016). Infestations may be par-

□ (1) Laboratory of Phytopathological Diagnostics and Molecular Biology, Plant Protection Service of Tuscany, v. Ciliegiole 99, I-51100 Pistoia (Italy); (2) Department of Agricultural, Food and Agro-Environmental Sciences, University of Pisa, v. del Borghetto 80, I-56124 Pisa (Italy); (3) CREA - Research Centre for Plant Protection and Certification, v. Lanciola 12/A, I-50125 Florence (Italy); (4) Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Naples "Federico II", v. Università 100, I-80055 Portici (Italy); (5) retired.

Antonio P Garonna (garonna@unina.it)

Received: Dec 09, 2022 - Accepted: Mar 28, 2023

Citation: Rizzo D, D'Agostino A, Stabile I, Ranaldi C, Marrucci A, Zubieta CG, Da Lio D, Bartolini L, Pennacchio F, Rossi E, Garonna AP (2023). Identification of the ambrosia beetle *Anisandrus dispar* (Fabricius) (Coleoptera Curculionidae Scolytinae) using TaqMan probe assay on biological samples. iForest 16: 182-187. - doi: 10.3832/ifor4287-016 [online 2023-06-30]

Communicated by: Matteo Marchioro

© SISEF https://iforest.sisef.org/

ticularly serious on hazelnut crops (Bucini et al. 2005, Speranza et al. 2009, Saruhan & Akyol 2012) and damages have been recorded in pear orchards (Tanasković et al. 2016). Like other harmful xyleborine species, *A. dispar* can represent a potential threat outside its native range (Gohli et al. 2016).

The identification of xylophagous species in phytosanitary practice is generally rather complicated. Traditionally adult identification is time consuming with continuous comparison among similar morphological characters to distinguish a genus from a subfamily or a species from cogeneric ones (Cognato et al. 2020, Smith et al. 2020). Today the use of molecular diagnostic tools lead to accurate and ease identification and time savings. In all those cases where only signs of damage (empty galleries or with traces of frass) are available or juveniles hidden inside woody tissues with the issue to extract the intact bodies from galleries, traditional approaches show their limits. The molecular diagnosis becomes particularly useful if this tool can be applied to obtain results from indirect evidence. In fact, the identification of xylophagous species from frass has already been developed for several species (Ide et al. 2016a, Ide et al. 2016b, Rizzo et al.

Tab. 1 - List of samples of the target species Anisandrus dispar used for DNA extraction.

Sample	Specimens in the sample	Sample content
i	Anisandrus dispar	DNA from single adults and larvae
ii	A. dispar and Q. cerris	Mix at a ratio 1:5 of lysates from <i>A. dispar</i> adult and artificial sawdust, both of CTAB 2%
iii	A. dispar and Q. cerris	Mix of 0.1 ng/µL adult DNA and <i>Q. cerris</i> lysate in CTAB 2%

2020a, 2020b, Taddei et al. 2021). Moreover, the use of biological traces (exuviae, incomplete bodies, frass or faeces) for the identification at species level can be decisive, especially when fast, reliable and unambiguous diagnosis are needed to identify the presence of quarantine or invasive species in time (Rizzo et al. 2020a, Rizzo et al. 2021). From a phytosanitary point of view the setup of molecular protocols ready to use may support early pest detection carried out by any Plant Protection and Inspection Service (Wu et al. 2017).

More than 30 species belong to the genus Anisandrus including forest threatening pests (Smith et al. 2020). Morphologically A. dispar could be confused with the smaller A. maiche Kurentzov (Gomez et al. 2018, Smith et al. 2020). Recently, this Asian species has been recovered in European Russia and Ukraine (Mandelshtam et al. 2018), Italy (Colombari et al. 2022, Ruzzier et al. 2022) and Switzerland (EPPO 2022). Consequently, a precise molecular identification of A. dispar is considered to be of crucial importance to support its easy discrimination among congeneric exotic pests and avoid accidental introductions of non-native Anisandrus species across Europe.

Here we propose a molecular diagnostic test based on qPCR with TaqMan^M Probe technology developed for the identification of *A. dispar* using DNA extracted from samples of larvae, adults, and artificial sawdust obtained from healthy *Quercus cerris* branches, and subsequently contaminated with known amounts of *A. dispar* adult DNA. This approach could be shared and applied to several other organisms/insects for the development of standard identification methods.

Materials and methods

Biological samples

Adults and larvae of Anisandrus dispar were collected during routine monitoring inspections carried out by the Phytosanitary Service in Tuscany, or by other research institutions in the respective Regions (Tab. 1). Larvae and adults were stored in 70% ethanol solution at room temperature until use. Artificial sawdust samples to simulate the frass of *A. dispar* were obtained by holing healthy branches (5-10 cm diameter) from oak trees (*Quercus cerris*) with a manual drill. All samples were stored at room temperature in the lab until use, for a period ranging from 2 to 8 months to simulate the conditions in which natural samples could be collected.

The non-target insects (adults, larvae and/or frass in the case of xylophagous species) used for testing the analytical specificity of the assay, belonged to the biomolecular collection of the Phytopathological Lab of the Phytosanitary Service of the Tuscany Region, Italy. The non-target insects chosen were several other xylophagous beetles and moths, as specified in Tab. S1 (Supplementary material).

DNA extraction from target and nontarget samples

Genomic DNA from all target samples was extracted using the modified protocol suggested by Li et al. (2008), until the addition of chloroform and the subsequent centrifugation at 11,500 g for 5 min. Some 600 μ L of the upper phase was purified using the Maxwell[®] RSC PureFood GMO and Authentication Kit in combination with the automated purificator MaxWell 16 (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Details of the purification have been previously described (Rizzo et al. 2020a, 2020b). The DNA of nontarget samples was extracted using the same procedure used for target samples, but in different times.

DNA extraction was carried out with the same methodology on different matrices as shown in Tab. 1: (i) single larvae and adults of *A. dispar*; (ii) lysate of CTAB 2% buffer of *A. dispar* adult added at lysate of CTAB 2% buffer from artificial sawdust at a

ratio 1:5; (iii) A. dispar adult DNA (0.1 ng μ L⁻¹) mixed with artificial sawdust lysate in 2% CTAB buffer.

Serial dilutions 1:5 were made, and DNA extraction was carried out in duplicate for each dilution step, to verify the analytical sensibility of the extraction method. Extract dilutions were 5⁻¹ - 5⁻⁷ for samples (ii) and 0.1 ng μ L⁻¹ – 0.24 fg μ L⁻¹ for samples (iii).

These different samples used for DNA extractions were chosen to test the sensibility of the method in discriminating *A. dispar* target specimens (DNA and lysate) from host plant lysate, simulating the indirect diagnosis of the woodborer from the natural frass (unavailable in our experiment).

The quality of the extracted DNA was assayed in qPCR after a dilution 1:20 of DNA in ddH₂O; a dual-labeled probe (18S uni F/ -R) and a YakimaYellow-BHQ1 dual labeled probe (18S uni-P) targeting a highly conserved region of the 18S rDNA was used in the reaction (Taddei et al. 2021, loos et al. 2009). The amplification tests of DNA samples were used as control and allowed to verify the presence of inhibitors in relation to both the C_q (quantification cycle) detected and the slope of the relative amplification curves.

Design of primers and probe and relative optimization

The software Oligo Architect Online[®] (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) was used to design the primer pairs and probes, targeting the conserved sequences (voucher BMNH 1047383 mitochondrion, complete genome) of *A. dispar* genome (accession number KX035217.1) calculating the product size, the melting temperature and primer length. The absence of secondary structure was also considered when possible. For the development of the qPCR probe method, the sequences used are shown in Tab. 2.

An *in-silico* test of the primer pairs was then performed with the $BLAST^{\circ}$ software (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool – http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST) to assess the specificity of the designed primer pairs and probe.

The *in-silico* specificity was further verified searching for the most related nucleotide sequences by means of the BLAST software, using as query the expected amplicons of the probe qPCR protocol. The sequences were aligned using the MAFFT program (Katoh & Standley 2013) implemented within the software Geneious[®] ver.

Tab. 2 - List of the primers and probes designed for qPCR TaqMan protocol for Anisandrus dispar.

Method	Primer/ Probe name	Length (bp)	Sequence 5' - 3'	Nucleotide position	Product size (bp)	
TaqMan Probe	Xdisp_2302F	21	CCACCATTAGCTGCCAATATC	2302 - 2323	2323 2431 151	
	Xdisp_2452R	21	GTTCGGGCTTTATTCCTGTAG	2452 - 2431		
	Xdisp_2325P	27	HEX_CCACGAAGGAGCATCTGTTGACCTAGC_BHQ1	2325 - 2352		



Fig. 1 - Alignments resulting from the in silico theoretical TaqMan amplicon and sequences of the related organisms present in Gen-Bank.

(Biomatters, Auckland, NZ – 10.2.6 http://www.geneious.com). The results are shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2.

qPCR protocols

To determine the optimal annealing temperatures for the developed protocol, the temperature gradient from 52 to 62 °C were tested on 8 DNA samples extracted from two adults of A. dispar (4 samples of type (i) for each specimen – Tab. 1). Oligos and probes were used at different concentrations: 0.2 μ M, 0.3 μ M and 0.4 μ M each. Samples were tested as technical duplicates. The amplification reactions in qPCR Probe were performed with a CFX96 (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA) termocyclator in a final volume of 20 μ L. Data obtained were

analyzed with the software CFX Maestro ver. 2.0 (BioRad) using automatic thresholds and baselines for FAM (Fluorescein Amidite Dye). Samples were considered positive when the correspondent qPCR curves showed a clear inflection point and an increasing kinetics, and C_q values <32.

Validation of the method for the qPCR Probe

In view of the possibility to apply the test in routine diagnostics, performance criteria such as analytical sensitivity, analytical specificity, repeatability and reproducibility were determined. Validation was performed according to EPPO standard PM7/98 (5) updated in 2021 for insect samples (larvae and adults) and for the artificial sawdust. The parameters true positives, false negatives, false positives, and true negatives were considered according to the quoted EPPO standard (EPPO 2021).

Analytical sensitivity (limit of detection, LoD) of the test was estimated on 1:5 serial dilutions of samples (i), (ii) and (iii) previously described in Tab. 1.

DNA extracts were diluted to 5 ng µL⁻¹ for DNA from A. dispar adults. Two µL of template were added to each sample and 3 replicates were set up for each sample. The evaluation range was included between 10 ng μ L¹ up to 25.6 fg μ L¹. All measurements were made using the QIAxpert® system (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany).

The repeatability was tested on 8 DNA samples extracted from 2 adult (5 ng μ L⁻¹) Fig. 2 - Position of the primers used for Anisandrus dispar in the TaqMan probe protocol. The probe amplicon is yellow, from 2302 to 2452 bp. The probes were built on the same ribosomal DNA region using the sequence retrieved from GenBank (https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/genbank/).



Tab. 3 - Qualitative and quantitative parameters of DNA extraction from different matrices (adults and larvae of *Anisandrus dispar*; artificial sawdust from healthy branches of *Quercus cerris*). (SD): standard deviation.

Extraction matrix	DNA conc. ± SD (ng µL ⁻¹)	A260/280 ratio	C _q (18S rRNA)		
Adults	182.6 ± 23.2	2.1 ± 0.1	18.2 ± 1.8		
Larvae	202.4 ± 36.4	1.9 ± 0.2	17.9 ± 2.1		
Artificial sawdust	267.6 ± 38.5	1.9 ± 0.1	23.2 ± 1.7		

Tab. 4 - LoD values of processed samples serially diluted 1:5. (A): Samples (i) 1 ng μ L⁻¹ of Anisandrus dispar adult DNA. (B): Samples (ii) 5⁻¹ of lysate from A. dispar adults in artificial sawdust lysate of Q. cerris, both in CTAB 2%. (C) Samples (iii) mix of 0.1 ng μ L⁻¹ of adult DNA of A. dispar in lysate of artificial sawdust of Q. cerris in CTAB 2%.

(A) Serial dilutions	LoD (Avg C _q ± SD)	(B) Serial dilutions	LoD (Avg C _q ± SD)	(C) Serial dilutions	LoD (Avg C _q ± SD)
1 ng µL ⁻¹	23.55 ± 0.56	5 ⁻¹	26.73 ± 0.44	0.1 ng µL ⁻¹	24.01 ± 0.25
0.2 ng µL ⁻¹	27.40 ± 0.65	5 ⁻²	28.54 ± 0.33	0.02 ng µL ⁻¹	30.82 ± 0.39
0.04 ng µL ⁻¹	29.98 ± 0.24	5 ⁻³	30.72 ± 0.25	0.004 ng µL ⁻¹	33.20 ± 0.71
8 pg μL ⁻¹	31.29 ± 0.18	5-4	32.89 ± 0.37	0.8 pg µL ⁻¹	34.64 ± 0.12
1.6 pg μL ⁻¹	32.38 ± 1.07	5 ⁻⁵	35.45 ± 0.10	0.16 pg µL ⁻¹	-
0.32 pg µL ^{.1}	34.37 ± 0.45	5 ⁻⁶	36.59 ± 0.67	0.03 pg µL ⁻¹	-
0.06 pg µL ^{.1}	-	5 ⁻⁷	-	0.006 pg µL ⁻¹	-
12.8 fg µL ⁻¹	-	5 ⁻⁸	-	1.2 fg µL ⁻¹	-
2.56 fg µL ⁻¹	-	-	-	0.24 fg µL ⁻¹	-

Tab. 5 - Repeatability (inter-variability) and reproducibility (intra-variability) values of the qPCR assays were measured as standard deviation (SD) of the mean values of the replicates for each series.

Kind	Assay	Samples	Replicate no.						
			1	2	3	4	6	7	8
ability	Assay 1	(i) adult DNA	0.25	0.52	0.25	0.27	0.22	0.54	0.36
		(ii) artificial sawdust lysate	0.88	0.76	0.98	0.67	0.95	0.36	0.22
Repeat	Assay 2	(i) adult DNA	0.39	0.37	0.31	0.98	0.48	0.49	0.30
		(ii) artificial sawdust lysate	0.79	0.85	0.34	0.46	0.87	0.98	0.36
Reproducibility	Assay 1	(i) adult DNA	0.53	0.22	0.37	0.73	0.74	0.44	0.24
		(ii) artificial sawdust lysate	0.67	0.07	0.43	0.46	0.96	0.39	0.18
	Assay 2	(i) adult DNA	0.36	0.37	0.38	0.4	0.41	0.42	0.43
		(ii) artificial sawdust lysate	0.81	0.80	0.80	0.79	0.78	0.78	0.77

samples (4 for each specimen) and on 8 samples of artificial sawdust lysate from healthy branches of *Q. cerris* in CTAB 2%, contaminated to 0.1 ng μ L⁻¹ DNA of *A. dispar* adult. The protocol of reproducibility followed was the same of the test on repeatability, but 2 different operators carried out the assays on different days.

Results

DNA extraction

The results of DNA extraction from adults, larvae and artificial sawdust are shown in Tab. 3. The data that have been obtained suggest a satisfactory performance of the DNA extraction method used in the experiment.

Optimization of the Probe qPCR assay conditions

The optimal mix reaction for the protocol developed in this study on the targeted sequences of the mitochondrial genome of *A. dispar*, included 10 μ L of 2× QuantiNova Probe PCR Master Mix[®] (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) with 0.4 μ M of primers and 0.2 μ M probe concentration. The optimal annealing temperature was equal to 60 °C. The qPCR conditions consisted of an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 sec, and 60 °C for 40 sec (Fig. 3).

Validation method

The assay resulted inclusive for A. dispar, and exclusive towards the non-target organisms tested. All target specimens were correctly identified using the specific test and no false positive results were obtained for non-target organisms, resulting in a 100% analytical specificity and analytical sensitivity. The tests yielded the same qualitative results for all assayed samples and were not influenced by variation in assay conditions. The C_q values for the samples of adult DNA ranged between 23.55 ± 0.56 and 34.37 ± 0.45 and the LoD was 0.32 pg μ L¹ (Tab. 4A).

For samples (ii), the C_q included values between 26.73 ± 0.44 and 36.59 ± 0.67, and the LoD was determined at a dilution factor of 5⁶ (Tab. 4B).

The LoD of samples (iii) (dilution 1:5 of 0.1 ng μ L¹ of *A. dispar* adult DNA added to lysate of *Q. cerris* artificial sawdust in CTAB 2%) was included in a range (C_q ± standard deviation, SD) of 24.01 ± 0.25 and 34.64 ± 0.12 and corresponded to a dilution of 0.8 pg μ L¹ (Tab. 4C).

Repeatability and reproducibility were estimated on samples (i) and (ii), showing very low values of SD and averages in both types of samples (Tab. 5). Repeatability and reproducibility values measured as standard deviation ranged between 0.22 and 0.98.

Discussion

The global trade of living plants, timber and wood packaging material contribute to

the increasing rate of accidental introduction of ambrosia beetles throughout the world, a phenomenon reported regularly for temperate climate zones (Rassati et al. 2015, Meurisse et al. 2018). The economic and ecological consequences of the establishment by invasive Scolytinae represent serious threats to agriculture and forestry; therefore, timely alien species detection and identification in import is crucial (Poland & Rassati 2019, Cognato et al. 2020).

The availability of easy-to-handle discrimination methods is crucial for the identification of invasive scolytine species. However, the traditional taxonomic keys essential to identify adults are reserved to experienced staff figures not always on hand (Vega & Hofstetter 2014, Cognato et al. 2020). Moreover, the problematic identification of intercepted juvenile stages of these pests is another open issue (Pennacchio et al. 2012, Wu et al. 2017).

Nowadays, the constant updating of still incomplete DNA sequence databases may facilitate accurate beetle identification with time (Briski et al. 2016) as well as the continuous developing/tuning of enhanced molecular protocols may better support the inspection efforts at points of entry where a rapid identification is required (Rabaglia et al. 2019, Avtzis & Lakatos 2021).

Different DNA extraction methods have been compared and proposed by authors to suggest less expensive, reliable and not time-consuming methods providing highest purity of extracts needed for accurate bark beetle identification (Albo et al. 2020, Amini et al. 2020).

The test developed for the molecular identification of Anisandrus dispar in this study showed good performance in terms of inclusivity and exclusivity, analytical sensibility, repeatability, and reproducibility. Although the test is not economic, its reliability and rapidity make it a possible candidate as official standard identification method for A. dispar from different matrices. In a previous paper (Rizzo et al. 2021), a molecular diagnostic test based on qPCR with TagMan probe technology has been developed for three species belonging to the genus Xylosandrus (Coleoptera Curculionidae Scolytinae). The results obtained for these Ambrosia beetles were comparable, in terms of analytical sensibility, to those obtained for A. dispar.

For the identification of *A. dispar*, an artificial frass created in the lab has been used to simulate the natural one. This choice has been supported by previous experiments where both types of frass were processed (Rizzo et al. 2020c). The comparison of the obtained experimental data related to DNA extraction showed excellent results. This further evidence supports the idea that the artificial sawdust can be used to finalize the molecular identification test, since the artificial samples are not subjected to the degradation by environmental factors (temperature, humidity) as well as by enzyme activity that can denature part of in-



Fig. 3 - Amplification curves of Anisandrus dispar DNA from different matrices. Lines with blu circles: samples i) with 1 ng/µL of DNA from adult specimens; lines with green diamonds: sample ii) lysate from A. dispar adults added to lysate of Quercus cerris both in CTAB 2%; lines with red triangles: samples iii) lysate in CTAB 2% of Q. cerris contaminated to 0.1 ng/µL of DNA from A. dispar adults.

sect DNA (Strangi et al. 2013). The obtained results confirm the soundness of this approach and underlines the need to proceed with the fine-tuning of other easy to arrange DNA extraction protocols for phytosanitary purposes. These new tools might be certainly applied in all those cases where a possible presence of quarantine pests in different plant matrices is suspected (*e.g.*, at ports of entry).

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the colleagues of the Plant Protection Phytosanitary Service of Tuscany supporting the field collection of insect samples and frass. Thanks are due also to prof. Tiziana Panzavolta of DAGRI, University of Florence, for providing Anisandrus dispar samples.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization of the research approach, detailed laboratory methodologies, experimental designs for this study were developed and conducted by DR (principal investigator) with the assistance of ADA, LB, CR, AM, IS, and DDL. All sample preparations, data collection and statistical analyses of data collected from DNA extracts were completed by DR, ADA, DDL, and LB. DR, APG, FP and ER wrote the manuscript and formatted the draft. Revisions of the manuscript were completed by all authors.

References

Albo JE, Marelli JP, Puig AS (2020). Rapid molec-

ular identification of Scolytinae (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). International Journal of Molecular Science 20 (23): 5944. - doi: 10.3390/ijms20 235944

- Amini S, Nozari J, Hosseini R, Rahati R (2020). Comparison of different DNA extraction methods for the molecular study of bark beetles (Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Scolytinae). Journal of Insect Biodiversity and Systematics 6 (1): 113-124. - doi: 10.52547/jibs.6.1.113
- Avtzis DN, Lakatos F (2021). Bark and wood boring insects. past, present, and the future know ledge we need. Insects 12: 28. - doi: 10.3390/in sects12010028
- Briski E, Ghabooli S, Bailey SA, MacIsaac HJ (2016). Are genetic databases sufficiently populated to detect non-indigenous species? Biological Invasions 18: 1911-1922. - doi: 10.1007/s10530-016-1134-1
- Bucini D, Balestra GM, Pucci C, Paparatti B (2005). Bio-ethology of Anisandrus dispar F. and its possible involvement in dieback (Moria) diseases of hazelnut (Corylus avellana L.) plants in Central Italy. Acta Horticulturae 686: 435-444. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2005.686.60
- Cognato AI, Sari G, Smith SM, Beaver RA, Li Y, Hulcr J, Jordal BH, Kajimura H, Lin CS, Pham TH, Singh S, Sittichaya W (2020). The essential role of taxonomic expertise in the creation of DNA databases for the identification and delimitation of Southeast Asian ambrosia beetle species (Curculionidae: Scolytinae: Xyleborini). Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 8: 27. - doi: 10.3389/fevo.2020.00027
- Colombari F, Martinez Sañudo I, Battisti A (2022). First report of the alien ambrosia beetle *Cnestus mutilatus* and further finding of *Anisandrus maiche* in the EPPO region (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae: Xyleborini). EPPO Bulletin 00: 1-5. - doi: 10.1111/epp.12840

EPPO (2021). PM 7/98 (5) Specific requirements

for laboratories preparing accreditation for a plant pest diagnostic activity. Bulletin OEPP/ EPPO 51: 468-498. - doi: 10.1111/epp.12780

- EPPO (2022). First record of the ambrosia beetle Anisandrus maiche in Switzerland. EPPO Reporting Service no. 07, pp. 148. [online] URL: http://gd.eppo.int/reporting/article-7379
- French JRJ, Roeper RA (1975). Studies on the biology of the ambrosia beetle *Xyleborus dispar* (F.) (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). Zeitschrift für Angewandte Entomologie 78: 241-247. - doi: 10.1111 /j.1439-0418.1975.tb04178.x
- Galko J, Nikolov C, Kimoto T, Kunca A, Gubka A, Vakula J, Zúbrik M, Ostrihon M (2014). Attraction of ambrosia beetles to ethanol baited traps in a Slovakian oak forest. Biologia 69: 1376-1383. - doi: 10.2478/s11756-014-0443-z
- Gohli J, Selvarajah T, Kirkendall LR, Jordal BL (2016). Globally distributed *Xyleborus* species reveal recurrent intercontinental dispersal in a landscape of ancient worldwide distributions. BMC Evolutionary Biology 16: 37. - doi: 10.1186/s12862-016-0610-7
- Gomez DF, Rabaglia RJ, Fairbanks KEO, Hulcr J (2018). North American *Xyleborini* north of Mexico: a review and key to genera and species (Coleoptera, Curculionidae, Scolytinae). Zoo-Keys 768: 19-68. - doi: 10.3897/zookeys.768.24 697
- Ide T, Kanzaki N, Ohmura W, Okabe K (2016a). Molecular identification of the western drywood termite (Isoptera: Kalotermitidae) by loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA from fecal pellets. Journal of Economic Entomology 109 (3): 2234-2237. - doi: 10.1093/jee/ tow167
- Ide T, Kanzaki N, Ohmura W, Okabe K (2016b). Molecular identification of an invasive woodboring insect *Lyctus brunneus* (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae: Lyctinae) using frass by loop-mediated isothermal amplification and nested PCR assays. Journal of Economic Entomology 109 (3): 1410-1414. - doi: 10.1093/jee/tow030
- Ioos R, Fourrier C, Iancu G, Gordon TR (2009). Sensitive detection of *Fusarium circinatum* in pine seed by combining an enrichment procedure with a real-time polymerase chain reaction using dual-labeled probe chemistry. Phytopathology 99: 582-590. - doi: 10.1094/PHYTO-99-5-0582
- Katoh K, Standley DM (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Molecular Biology and Evolution 30 (4): 772-780. - doi: 10.1093/molbev/msto10.-doi:10.1093/molbev/ms to10
- Li R, Mocka R, Huang Q, Abad J, Hartung J, Kinarda G (2008). A reliable and inexpensive method of nucleic acid extraction for the PCRbased detection of diverse plant pathogens. Journal of Virological Methods 154: 48-55. - doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2008.09.008
- Mandelshtam MY, Yakushkin EA, Petrov AV (2018). Oriental ambrosia beetles (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae): new inhabitants of Primorsky krai in Russia. Russian Journal of Biological Invasions 9: 355-365. - doi: 10.1134/S2075 111718040082

Meurisse N, Rassati D, Hurley BP, Brockerhoff

EG, Haack RA (2018). Common pathways by which non-native forest insects move internationally and domestically. Journal of Pest Science 92: 13-27. - doi: 10.1007/s10340-018-0990-0.-doi:10.1007/s10340-018-0990-0

- Pennacchio F, Sabbatini PG, Jucker C, Allegro G, Roversi PF (2012). A key for the identification of larvae of Anoplophora chinensis, Anoplophora glabripennis and Psacothea hilaris (Coleoptera Cerambycidae Lamiinae) in Europe. REDIA -Journal of Zoology 95: 57-65.
- Poland TM, Rassati D (2019). Improved biosecurity surveillance of non-native forest insects: a review of current methods. Journal of Pest Science 92: 37-49. - doi: 10.1007/s10340-018-1004-y Rabaglia RJ, Dole SA, Cognato AI (2006). Review of American *Xyleborina* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolyinae) occurring North of Mexico, with an illustrated key. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 99 (6): 1034-1056. doi: 10.1603/0013-8746(2006)99[1034:ROAXCC] 2.0.CO;2
- Rabaglia RJ, Cognato AI, Hoebeke ER, Johnson CW, Labonte JR, Carter ME, Vlack JJ (2019). Early detection and rapid response: a 10-year summary of the USDA Forest Service program of surveillance for non-native bark and Ambrosia beetles. American Entomologist 65 (1): 29-42. - doi: 10.1093/ae/tmz015
- Rassati D, Faccoli M, Petrucco Toffolo E, Battisti A, Marini L (2015). Improving the early detection of alien wood-boring beetles in ports and surrounding forests. Journal of Applied Ecology 52: 50-58. - doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.12347
- Rizzo D, Taddei F, Da Lio D, Nugnes F, Barra E, Stefani L, Bartolini L, Griffo RV, Spigno P, Cozzolino L, Rossi E, Garonna AP (2020a). Identification of the red-necked longhorn beetle Aromia bungii (Faldermann, 1835) (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) with Real-Time PCR on frass. Sustainability 12: 6041. - doi: 10.3390/su12156041
- Rizzo D, Taddei A, Da Lio D, Bruscoli T, Cappellini G, Bartolini L, Salemi C, Luchi N, Pennacchio F, Rossi E (2020b). Molecular identification of Anoplophora glabripennis (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) from frass by loop-mediated isothermal amplification. Journal of Economic Entomology 113 (6): 2911-2919. - doi: 10.1093/jee/t0a206
- Rizzo D, Da Lio D, Bartolini L, Cappellini G, Bruscoli T, Bracalini M, Benigno A, Salemi C, Del Nista D, Aronadio A, Panzavolta T, Moricca S (2020c). A duplex real-time PCR with probe for simultaneous detection of *Geosmithia morbida* and its vector *Pityophthorus juglandis*. PLoS One 15 (10): e0241109. - doi: 10.1371/journal.po ne.0241109
- Rizzo D, Da Lio D, Bartolini L, Salemi C, Del Nista D, Aronadio A, Pennacchio F, Binazzi F, Francardi V, Garonna AP, Rossi E (2021). TaqMan probe assays on different biological samples for the identification of three ambrosia beetle species, *Xylosandrus compactus* (Eichoff), *X. crassiusculus* (Motschulsky) and *X. germanus* (Blandford) (Coleoptera Curculionidae Scolytinae). 3 Biotech 11: 259. - doi: 10.1007/s13205-021-02786-9
- Ruzzier E, Bani L, Cavaletto G, Faccoli M, Rassati D (2022). Anisandrus maiche Kurentzov (Curculionidae: Scolytinae), an Asian species recent-

ly introduced and now widely established in Northern Italy. BioInvasions Records 11 (3): 652-658. - doi: 10.3391/bir.2022.11.3.07

- Saruhan H, Akyol I (2012). Monitoring population density and fluctuations of Anisandrus dispar and Xyleborinus saxesenii (Coleoptera: Scolytinae, Curculionidae) in hazelnut orchards. African Journal of Biotechnology 11: 4202-4207. doi: 10.5897/AJB11.4185
- Sauvard D (2004). General biology of bark beetles. Chapter 7. In: "Bark and Wood Boring Insects in Living Trees in Europe: A Synthesis" (Lieutier F, Day K, Battisti A, Gregorie J-C, Evans H eds). Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, pp. 63-88. - doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-2241-8_7
- Smith SM, Beaver RA, Cognato AI (2020). A monograph of the *Xyleborini* (Coleoptera, Curculionidae, Scolytinae) of the Indochinese Peninsula (except Malaysia) and China. Zookeys 983: 1-442. - doi: 10.3897/zookeys.983.52630
- Speranza S, Bucini D, Paparatti B (2009). New observation on biology of European Shot-Hole Borer (*Xyleborus dispar* (F.)) on hazel in Northern Latium (Central Italy). Acta Horticolturae 845: 539-542. - doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2009.8 45.84
- Strangi A, Sabbatini Peverieri G, Roversi PF (2013). Managing outbreaks of the citrus longhorned beetle Anoplophora chinensis (Forster) in Europe: molecular diagnosis of plant infestation. Pest Management Science 69: 627-634. doi: 10.1002/ps.3416
- Taddei A, Becker M, Berger B, Da Lio D, Feltgen S, König S, Hoppe B, Rizzo D (2021). Molecular identification of Anoplophora glabripennis (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) and detection from frass samples based on real-time quantitative PCR. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection 128: 1587-1601. doi: 10.1007/S41348-021-00501-7 Tanasković S, Marjanović M, Gvozdenac S, Popović N, Drašković G (2016). Sudden occurrence and harmfulness of Xyleborus dispar (Fabricius) on pear. Contemporary Agriculture 65 (3-4): 57-
- 62. doi: 10.1515/contagri-2016-0019
- Vega FE, Hofstetter RWE (2014). Bark beetles, biology and ecology of native and invasive species. Academic Press, London, UK, pp. 620. doi: 10.1016/C2013-0-04752-4
- Wood SL, Bright DE (1992). A catalog of Scolytinae and Platypotidae (Coleoptera). Part 2: Taxonomic Index, Volume A. Great Basin Naturalist Memories, pp. 1-833.
- Wu Y, Trepanowski NF, Molongoski JJ, Reagel PF, Lingafelter SW, Nadel H, Myers SW, Ray AM (2017). Identification of wood-boring beetles (Cerambycidae and Buprestidae) intercepted in trade associated solid wood packaging material using DNA barcoding and morphology. Scientific Reports 7: 40316. - doi: 10.1038/srep40316

Supplementary Material

 $\mbox{Tab. S1}$ - List of target and non-target insects and biological traces (frass) used in the test.

Link: Rizzo_4287@supploo1.pdf